1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND				
3					
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *				
6	VS. * JANUARY 22, 2015				
7	* 9:30 A.M.  JOHN J. FALL  *				
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * PROVIDENCE, RI				
9	TROVIDENCE, KI				
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN J. McCONNELL, JR.,				
11	DISTRICT JUDGE				
12	(Jury Trial Volume VI)				
13	(cary real contains representations)				
14	APPEARANCES:				
15	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: JOHN N. KANE, ESQ. JEFFREY BRIAN BENDER, ESQ.				
16	U.S. Department of Justice Tax Division				
17	601 D Street, N.W., 7th Floor Washington, D.C. 20530				
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: JOHN J. FALL, PRO SE				
19	108 Princess Avenue Cranston, RI 02920				
20	KEVIN J. FITZGERALD, ESQ.				
21	Federal Defender's Office 10 Weybosset Street, Suite 300				
22	Providence, RI 02903				
23	Court Reporter: Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR One Exchange Terrace				
24	Providence, RI 02903				
25					

1	TNDEV	
2	I N D E X	DACE
3	<u>GOVERNMENT WITNESS</u> <u>Michael Pleshaw</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Kane Cross-Examination by Mr. Fitzgerald Redirect Examination by Mr. Kane	5 81
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Kane	115
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	DEFENSE WITNESS	<u>PAGE</u>
11	<u>John Fall</u> Direct Evamination by Mr. Fitzgerald	131
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Fitzgerald Cross-Examination by Mr. Bender	194
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			3
1		EXHIBITS	
2	COURT 13	<u>FOR ID</u> 90	IN FULL
3	13	30	
4			
5	<u>GOVERNMENT</u> 401-A through F	FOR ID	IN FULL 14
6	402-A through F 403-A through F		14 14 14
7	404-A through F		29 14
8	405-A through E 406-A through D 407-A through G		14 14 14
9	408-A through E 409-A through E		14 14 14
10	410-A through E 411-A through F		14 14 14
11	412-A through D 413-A through D		14 14 14
12	414-A through D 415-A through D 415-A through D		14 14 14
13	416-A through D 417-A through C		14 14 14
14	418-A through C 419-A through D		14 14 14
15	420-A through D 421-A through E	61	14
16	421-A through L 422 423	01	70 70
17	424 424 - A		70 70 70
18	425-A through C 426-A and B		70 70 70
19	420-A and B		70
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
22 JANUARY 2015 -- 9:30 A.M.
 1
 2
              (The jury is present for the following.)
 3
              (The Defendant is not present for the
 4
      following.)
 5
              THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Did you
 6
      have a good evening? I'll take that as yes.
                                                     I'm not
 7
      sure I'm not reading a little more into it than I
 8
      probably should.
9
              And can, once again, you all assure me that you
10
       followed the Court's order about not discussing this
11
      case with anyone or doing any independent research or
12
      posting anything on social media? Great. All the
13
      jurors are signifying in the affirmative.
14
              And, with that, the Government is calling its
15
      next witness.
16
              MR. KANE: Yes, your Honor. The United States
17
      calls summary witness Michael Pleshaw.
18
              THE COURT:
                          I assume you know the drill.
19
                  MICHAEL PLESHAW, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN
20
              THE CLERK:
                         Would you please state your name and
21
      spell your last name for the record.
22
              THE WITNESS: It's Michael Pleshaw,
23
      P-L-E-S-H-A-W.
24
                         May I proceed, your Honor?
              MR. KANE:
25
              THE COURT:
                         Yes.
```

## **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

## BY MR. KANE:

- **Q**. Mr. Pleshaw, tell the jury what you do for a living.
  - A. I'm a revenue agent for the Internal Revenue Service.
- Q. And where is your post of duty?
  - A. Boston, Massachusetts.
- **Q**. How long have you been a revenue agent with the 10 Internal Revenue Service?
  - **A**. I started in June of 1987.
  - **Q**. And what have your responsibilities been as a revenue agent over the years?
    - A. Over the years, when you start as a revenue agent, at first I audited partnerships, corporations and individuals to determine their correct tax liability.

And then in June of 2000, I was -- I went to a group called the Special Enforcement Program, and basically a revenue agent there helps Criminal Investigation in the tax criminal cases and assists the U.S. Attorneys in tax criminal cases.

- **Q**. And how long have you been in the special enforcement unit?
- A. I've been there since June of 2000.
- 25 Q. And what types of cases have you worked on?

- 1 A. The cases would involve unreported income,
- 2 political corruption, drug dealers, insurance fraud,
- 3 et cetera.
- 4 Q. Agent Pleshaw, did you participate in the
- 5 investigation of this case?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. And your participation involves a review of
- 8 financial records?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And is your appearance here today for the purpose
- 11 of summarizing financial records?
- 12 A. Yeah, summarizing evidence.
- 13 Q. Can you tell the jury what your understanding is
- of your assignment here today.
- 15 A. My assignment for this particular trial was to
- 16 summarize the bank records that was put in evidence,
- 17 summarize the Comfort Dental tax return adjustments,
- 18 summarize Mr. Fall's income, and also summarize the tax
- 19 due and owing by Mr. Fall.
- 20 Q. Have you been in this courtroom during the trial?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. Have you listened to the witnesses?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 Q. Have you seen the exhibits entered into evidence?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- **Q**. And will your testimony be based strictly on the admitted evidence in addition to certain financial records?
- A. Yes, I will.

Q. In front of you --

THE COURT: Mr. Kane, just hold on one second.

Are there certain financial records that are not admitted into evidence that he's relying on?

MR. KANE: Yes, if you'd like to address that at sidebar, your Honor. These are 1006 that we addressed with the Court.

THE COURT: I don't need to hear anymore. Thank you.

MR. KANE: And I will cover those and let the Court know which ones they are as we go through the next exhibit.

THE COURT: But the 1006 exhibits will all be summary exhibits based on admitted evidence.

MR. KANE: No. Some of them will be based on the admitted evidence. Some are in lieu of admitted evidence pursuant to Rule 1006 because they're so voluminous.

THE COURT: You can proceed. Thank you, Mr. Kane.

Q. 449 is in front of you. Do you have that?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KANE: Your Honor, I believe you have a copy of that as well.

THE COURT: I do.

- **Q**. Is that a summary of the list of exhibits and summaries that you prepared in this case?
- A. Yes.
- **Q**. And the exhibits begin with 401-A and continue through, I think, four pages. Next to each item there are initials. Are those your initials?
- A. Yes, they are.
- **Q**. And what do they signify?
- A. That basically I checked over the list against the exhibits that are going to be -- that I'm going to present.
  - **Q**. And are these all summaries -- with the exception of certain demonstratives at Exhibit 421, are these all summaries that you prepared?
  - A. Yes.
  - **Q**. And can you tell the jury a little bit about how you went about preparing these summaries.
    - A. Basically all the records that came in through evidence, all the bank statements, most -- all the summaries that come off the bank statements.

So I had to go through all the bank statements,

- all the checks, all the deposit items, and I inspect each and every sheet that has a picture of some bank statement on it, and then I come up with a summary for each and every account on this list.
  - Q. How much time do you figure that took you?
    MR. FITZGERALD: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. I've been doing this particular case probably a couple years now.
- **Q**. Not full time?
- 11 **A**. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. But many hours were spent preparing these summaries?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And if we look at summary sheet 449, the first few accounts appear to be in the name of Financial Factors.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 **A**. Yes.
- 19 **Q**. And you prepared those summaries?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And there are some additional accounts in the name of Mountain Management?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And you prepared those summaries?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you compared the summaries against the actual
   financial information, including the bank records?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And are those summaries fair and accurate summaries of the underlying bank records?
- 6 A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And if we continue, you prepared summaries for

  Stratford Management account, which was in Colorado; is

  that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 **Q**. And did you compare your summaries against the underlying records?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 **Q**. And are they true and accurate summaries of the underlying bank records?
- 16 **A**. Yes.
- Q. If we continue, we have Olympic Business Systems beginning at Exhibit 406-A as identified on 449. Did you review those bank records?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. Did you prepare summaries for those bank records?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Are the summaries true and accurate summaries of the underlying bank records?
- 25 **A**. Yes.

- Q. Those records, there are two accounts with respect to Olympic Business Systems; is that right?
- 3 A. Yes, there are.
  - Q. Were those voluminous accounts?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. And if we just go back to the Financial Factors
   account 1159, account number 1159, and the Mountain
   Management account, account number ending 1245, were
- 9 those voluminous accounts?
- 10 A. Yes, they were.
- 11 **Q**. Looking at 449, the summary sheet, continuing
- 12 beginning at 408-A, you summarized some accounts for
- Avagon, accounts titled in the name of Avagon; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 **Q**. Are the summaries that you prepared true and
- 17 accurate summaries against those financial records?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 **Q**. And continuing, it appears you prepared some
- 20 summaries for an account in Iowa for Accurate
- 21 Bookkeeping; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 **Q**. And you prepared summaries, and are those
- 24 summaries true and accurate summaries against the
- 25 underlying financial bank information?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. Continuing, beginning at 412-A as identified on
- 3 449, Liberty Bookkeeping appears to be an account you
- 4 summarized?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Are your summaries true and accurate against the
- 7 underlying records for that account?
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. JV Services bank account down in Texas, did you
- 10 prepare summaries for those?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 **Q**. Are they true and accurate against the underlying
- 13 records?
- 14 **A**. Yes.
- 15 Q. Beginning at 414-A, you prepared summaries for
- 16 NERH account down in Texas; is that right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Are those summaries true and accurate against the
- 19 underlying records?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 **Q**. And if we continue through on 449, you summarized
- 22 accounts for Washington Allocation, another account for
- NERH at Fidelity, an account in the name of Smart
- Buyer, and accounts in the name of Broad Street
- Investments, and that takes you through Exhibit 420-D.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- **Q**. And are your summaries true and accurate against the underlying bank records?
- A. Yes.

MR. KANE: Your Honor, I'd move the admission of the following exhibits based on -- as identified on 449: 401-A through 401-F, 402-A through 402-F, 403-A through 404-F, inclusive of all sub-exhibits as identified on 449; and those last exhibits we move pursuant to Rule 1006 in lieu of admission of the underlying records.

Continuing, we'd move the admission of 405-A, 405-B, 405-C, 405-D and 405-E. The Government moves the admission of 406-A through 408-E, inclusive of all sub-exhibits as identified on 449; and we move those particular exhibits pursuant to Rule 1006 in lieu of admission of the voluminous underlying records.

Continuing, we'd move the admission of 409-A through 411-C, inclusive of the sub-exhibits as identified on 449.

Continuing to the third page of 449, we move the admission of 411-D, 411-E, 411-F. Continuing, we'd move the admission of 412-A through 419-B inclusive of all sub-exhibits as identified on 449.

```
Continuing to the fourth page of 449, we'd move
 1
 2
      the admission of 419-C, 419-D, 420-A through 420-D at
 3
      this time.
 4
              MR. FITZGERALD: I'm going to object, your
 5
      Honor.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Do you want to be heard any further?
 7
              MR. FITZGERALD:
                               No, your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          All right. The objection is
9
      overruled. The following exhibits will be admitted as
10
       stated by Mr. Kane under the rules of evidence,
11
      including Rule 1006: 401-A through F, 402-A through F,
12
      403-A through F, 405-A through E, 406-A through D,
13
      407-A through G, 408-A through E, 409-A through E,
14
      410-A through E, 411-A through F, 412-A through D,
15
      413-A through D, 414-A through D, 415-A through D,
16
      416-A through D, 417-A through C, 418-A through C,
17
      419-A through D and 420-A through D.
18
              (Government Exhibits 401-A through F, 402-A
19
      through F, 403-A through F, 405-A through E, 406-A
20
      through D, 407-A through G, 408-A through E, 409-A
21
      through E, 410-A through E, 411-A through F, 412-A
22
      through D, 413-A through D, 414-A through D, 415-A
23
      through D, 416-A through D, 417-A through C, 418-A
24
      through C, 419-A through D and 420-A through D admitted
25
       in full.)
```

MR. KANE: Let's have 401-A.

- 2 **Q.** Agent Pleshaw, let's talk about the first account.
- 3 Do you recall evidence concerning certain bank accounts
- 4 in the state of Massachusetts admitted at trial?
  - A. Yes.

1

5

8

- **Q**. And this particular account at 401-A is in the
- 7 name of Financial Factors; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. What is this particular exhibit showing us?
- 10 A. This is a fact sheet basically telling us certain
- 11 facts on that particular account.
- 12 **Q**. And how many -- how much in deposits for this
- 13 particular account?
- 14 A. A little over 1.7 million.
- 15 **Q**. You have a line there, number of payees and
- depositors. Can you tell the jury what that is.
- 17 A. Yeah. The total number of payees and depositors,
- it classifies -- the number is 83, which basically
- means there's 83 types of entities that have flowed
- 20 through this account that are running their income or
- 21 checks through this account.
- 22 **Q**. Are you familiar with the term "warehouse
- 23 account"?
- 24 A. Yes, I am.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Is that a term of art the IRS uses?

A. Yes.

- **Q**. And is this a warehouse account?
- A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. And what distinguishes a warehouse account?
  - A. Basically a warehouse account is an account set up in this case under Financial Factors, is the name of the account, but the account actually funnels money from all different types of entities and people.

So the checks you see going through this account, you're going to see different names. You'll hardly ever see Financial Factors as, you know, the payee or depositor.

- Q. Other entities will be appearing as the payees?
- A. Yeah. Correct.
  - **Q**. And how does that affect the traceability of the source of funds?
  - A. In a tax audit, it makes it very difficult to trace where the money goes, and that's -- when people do that, it makes it very hard to find the money.
  - **Q.** Let's take a look at 401-B, and if you could just tell us -- tell the jury what this document is. Again, this is the same account at UniBank?
- A. Yup, same account. Basically 401-B is just a summary of the monthly deposits and withdrawals that has gone through this account from 6/1999 to 3/2000.

- **Q**. Let's go to 401-C, same account, entitled identified deposit items. What is this document?
- A. Basically this particular document has -- 401-C has 51 pages to it; but the first page, basically this is a chart summarizing all the deposits that I could identify in this account from 1999 to 2000.
- Q. And that comes from actually reading the deposits, the checks in the account?
  - A. Yes.

- **Q**. And were there some that you couldn't identify because you couldn't read the check?
- A. Oh, yes. Yes.
- **Q**. And the columns here obviously provide the date that the check was written, the check number. What is this column, payee and depositor?
  - A. Payee and depositor, that's basically -- that would be the person that's getting the check, you know, and that's like -- in this first one, 21st Century Dental, that's the person that's getting the check for whatever services they did.
  - Q. And what is this column, deposit source text?
  - A. That's the source. That would be, again, say an example of 21st Century Dental, it's coming from a Jay Weiner, I think; and so he paid Century Dental for some type of service. So he's the guy paying them.

- Q. So when we look at the deposit check, we'll see

  Jay Weiner basically where the return address would be

  part of the check?
  - A. That's correct.
  - **Q**. And obviously the amount of the deposit is over to the right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. And there's 51 pages to this summary. Was this a voluminous account?
- 10 **A**. Oh, yes.

5

6

15

- 11 Q. Were there --
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will disregard the last statement.
  - **Q**. If we can go to the second page. Do other payees appear on this page?
- 17 A. Yes, they do.
- 18 Q. And that's ACS?
- 19 **A**. ACS, yes.
- Q. Let's go to page 36. Do you see payments to
- 21 Revenue Management Services?
- 22 **A**. Yes, I do.
- 23 **Q**. And who are those payments from?
- A. Looks like some were coming from Steverman and
- 25 then a Taylor and then some more from Robert Stone,

- 1 Inc., Realtors.
- 2 Q. And if we can go to the next page to continue.
- 3 Are there more deposits to Revenue Management Services
- 4 in this account?
- 5 A. Yeah. Again, Robert Stone, Allied Mortgage
- 6 Capital and it looks like Robert Logan.
- 7 Q. Was there evidence concerning Revenue Management
- 8 | Services admitted at trial?
- 9 A. Yes, there were.
- 10 Q. Let's have 65-A at 1. Do you have that document
- in front of you?
- 12 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. It's on letterhead of Revenue Management Services?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And what is this document?
- 16 A. This is a bill to Dan Sheehan or Allied Mortgage
- 17 Capital for billing hours from Mr. John Fall.
- 18 Q. And who is listed as the general partner for
- 19 Revenue Management Services?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 **Q**. Is it John Fall?
- 22 A. John Fall, yeah.
- 23 Q. 65-B, please. Do you have that document in front
- 24 of you?
- 25 **A**. Yes, I do.

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . The date of this document is 9/30, 2000?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And it states at the top "from," and can you tell us who it's from?
- 5 A It states from John Fa
- A. It states from John Fall, general partner ofRevenue Management Services.
- Q. 74-D, please, at 1. Can you tell us what this document is.
- A. Yes. This is a request form for -- from Office

  Services, which basically Revenue Management Services

  is asking for I believe some checks being deposited

  into that account.
- Q. And the general manager of Revenue Management Services?
  - A. Yeah. I can't read it that clear, but I believe it's John Fall. Oh, there you go. John Fall, general partner of Revenue Management Services.
    - Q. Now, with respect to the payors to Revenue

      Management Services that we saw, Robert Stone, I think
      a Silverman, was there any evidence concerning those
      names at trial?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's take a look at 259-B at page 3. Is this a deed from John J. Fall?
- 25 **A**. Yes, it is.

- Q. General partner of Revenue Management Services?
- 2 **A**. Yes.

4

5

7

8

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And who is it to?
  - A. Where you circled, James Steverman and Susan Steverman.
- 6 MR. KANE: Please zoom out.
  - Q. Does this concern property on Webster Street?
  - A. Yes, it does.
    - MR. KANE: We can withdraw that.
- 10 **Q**. Let's take a look at 94-D at 1, and can you tell me what this document is.
- A. Yeah. It's to James Taylor from John Fall,managing director of NE Realty Holding. I believe it's
- 14 an eviction notice to Mr. Taylor.
- Q. And does Mr. Taylor appear on those checks to Revenue Management Services?
- 17 A. Yes, he does.
- 18 Q. 92-P at page 6, please. Do you have that document in front of you?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 **Q**. It appears to be some information for John Fall,
- offices in Lynnfield, Braintree, Marshfield. Do you
- 23 see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q**. There's a phone number and a fax underneath?

- A. Yup. Phone number 781, yup.
- 2 **Q**. And there's some e-mails listed?
- 3 A. Yup, John, Smart Buyer Company, Smart Buyer
- 4 Company, John.

- 5 **Q.** And then there's a broker license equals Robert
- 6 Stone Real Estate. Do you see that?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that name appears as a payor on the checks toRevenue Management Services?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. Let's go to 401-D, your next summary.
- 12 401-D, as in Dan. Tell the jury what this document is.
- Again, we're sticking with the same account.
- 14 A. Yeah. Basically this is, as I explained before,
- 15 the list of 83 payee/depositors on that fact sheet.
- 16 This actually lists out all of them that I was able to
- find while I was going through checking this account,
- and I believe 83 was the figure.
- 19 Q. Let's go to the next page. And does Revenue
- 20 Management Services appear on this page as one of 83
- 21 payees on this account?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. You can put that away. 401-E, the next document
- with respect to this account. What is this?
- 25 A. This document identifies the withdrawals from

- Financial Factors for this account, and it just

  summarizes all the withdrawals that I could identify

  when I went through the records.
  - **Q**. And the withdrawals, how do they appear coming out of this account? What checks are they on, drawn off of?
  - A. They're drawn off Financial Factors checks.
  - **Q**. Would there be any checks drawn off of Revenue Management Services, for example?
  - A. Oh, no. They would be Financial Factors.
- 11 **Q**. Let's go to 401-F, the last exhibit for this particular account.
  - A. In this particular account, there were several checks that were cashed, cashed checks. Basically these particular items were cashed by the payee name, and those people were able to get cash out of this company.
  - **Q**. And those were the signatories on the account; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And you're not suggesting the Defendant cashed
  these checks. You're just summarizing the cash volume
  in this account?
  - A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Let's go to the next account, Agent Pleshaw,

- 1 402-A. And I believe this is another fact sheet for the second account we're looking at?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - **Q**. And just so the jury knows, you prepared similar types of summaries for each of these accounts as we go through?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Tell us what this account is.
- A. This is a Financial Factors account. It's located at Citizens Bank in Providence, Rhode Island. It was opened in January of 2000, and it was closed in August of 2000.
  - And, again, total deposits, a little over \$4 million went through this account during that time; and then it had 72 payees and depositors.
- **Q**. Let's take a look at 402-B, and this is just a summary of volume, both deposits and withdrawals?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Let's go to 402-C. What is this document?
- A. This is a document that identifies the deposits that went through this account.
  - **Q**. And the payee again, in this column is listed all the different payees appearing on these checks?
    - A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And I think you said there were over 70 payees?

- 1 A. I believe. Yeah. Yup.
- 2 Q. All right. Let's go to page 60 of this document.
- 3 Do checks to Revenue Management Services appear on this
- 4 particular page?
- 5 A. Yes, they do.
- **Q.** And are they from the same payors that we had
- 7 taken a look at a few moments ago?
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let's go to 402-D. And what is this document?
- 10 A. This is the list of the payees/depositors.
- 11 \ Q. And if we go to the next page, does Revenue
- 12 Management Services appear as a payee on this account?
- 13 **A.** Yes. Yes.
- 14 Q. And when you look at this account and the
- deposits, the actual checks in the account, are the
- 16 Revenue Management Services deposits, for example,
- 17 commingled in and around other payee checks?
- 18 A. Yeah, they are.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . 402-E, and what is this?
- 20 A. This is just a chart that identifies the
- 21 withdrawals coming out of that account for the year
- 22 2000.
- 23 Q. Again, what do the checks read at the top?
- 24 A. Oh, it would be coming out of the Financial
- 25 Factors account.

**Q**. How difficult, if at all, is it to determine whose expenses or withdrawals these are?

MR. FITZGERALD: Objection. It's beyond the scope of a summary witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Can you ask the question again, please.
- **Q.** I mean, how difficult is it to determine -- let me back up. You had a list of payees and depositors into the account?
- 10 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- 11 **Q**. Is there any way to tell whose withdrawals these are as to those payees?
  - A. No, not unless you have even more backup to the check.
  - Q. Let's go to 402-F, and what is this?
  - A. This is just a chart that summarizes the cashed checks coming out of that account for the year 2000.
  - **Q**. And, again, your purpose in this summary is not to suggest the Defendant cashed these checks but to give a sense of the volume of cashed checks in this account?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Let's go to 403-A, the next account. What is this account?
  - A. It's a fact sheet for Financial Factors for the account ending in 1159.

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And this is in Massachusetts as well?
- 2 A. Medway, Massachusetts, yes.
- 3 **Q.** And the signatory on the account?
- 4 A. Dale Christiansen.
- 5 Q. How much in deposits in this account?
- 6 A. Deposits, a little over 2.3 million.
- 7 Q. And how many payees in this account?
- 8 A. A hundred and three that I found.
- 9 Q. Let's go to the next document, 403-B, and this is
- 10 a summary of monthly deposits and withdrawals?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And the purpose is just to give a sense of volume
- 13 in and out?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . On a monthly basis?
- 16 **A**. Yes.
- 17  $\mathbf{Q}$ . 403-C, and what is this document?
- 18 A. Again, it's a chart that summarizes the deposit
- 19 items from 1999 to 2001 that ran through this account.
- 20 **Q**. And how many pages to this summary exhibit?
- 21 A. Ninety-eight.
- 22 **Q**. And you reviewed all the items?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. To verify the accuracy?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Let's go to page 56 and 57 of this exhibit. On page 56 of this exhibit, are there deposits and checks payable to Revenue Management Services?
  - A. Yes.

- **Q**. And if we continue to the next page, are there additional deposits payable to Revenue Management Services?
- A. Yes.
- **Q**. 403-E. Again, this is a listing of the
  10 withdrawals which would be on checks from Financial
  11 Factors, not from Revenue Management or any of those
  12 other payees?
- 13 A. Correct.
- **Q**. And 403-F.
  - A. Excuse me?
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . 403-F. And what is this summary?
  - A. Again, it's a summary chart of the cashed checks coming out of this particular account.
    - **Q**. And, again, you're not suggesting the evidence is Mr. Fall cashed these checks. You're simply giving the volume of cashed checks in this account?
    - A. That's correct.
    - MR. KANE: One final account from Massachusetts before we talk about Stratford Management. Can I have 404-A, please.

THE COURT: Mr. Kane, just before you proceed, I just looked at my notes, and I might have not misspoken but failed to include, at least according to the transcript, 404-A through F as admitted exhibits when I was going through the list. It appears I might have left those out. So 404-A through F are admitted, fully admitted exhibits. MR. KANE: Sorry. I didn't catch that, your

Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

(Government Exhibits 404-A through F admitted in full.)

- Q. 404-A, do you have that in front of you?
- Α. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

21

- And this is a fact sheet for a fourth account? Q.
- Yeah, a fact sheet for Mountain Management, Α.
- 17 account last four number 1245, located in Boston,
- 18 Massachusetts, Sovereign Bank.
  - Q. And how much in deposits ran through this account?
- 20 A little over 6.8 million. Α.
  - Q. How many payees?
- 22 Α. Ninety-seven that I found.
- 23 Q. And 404-B is, again, just a summary of deposits 24 and withdrawals for this account; is that right?
  - Α. That's correct.

- Q. And then if we go to 404-C, this is a listing of all the identified deposits you were able to find and view in the account?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. If we can go to page 47 and 48. Beginning at the bottom, were there checks payable to Revenue Management
- 8 A. Yes, there was.

Services?

- **Q.** And now on page 48, are there additional checks payable to Revenue Management Services?
- 11 A. Yes, there were.
- Q. And were there other payees commingled in and around Revenue Management Services in this account?
- 14 **A**. Yes.

4

7

9

- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . 404-D is a listing of the payees; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if we go back, I'm sorry, to 404-C and page

  35, this is the identified deposits, was there at least

  one check payable to John Fall?
- 20 A. Yes, there was.
- Q. 404-E is a listing of the withdrawals?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And this would be on Mountain Management checks, not Revenue Management Services or any of those other
- 25 payees?

- A. No, it would be on Mountain Management's account.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And  $\mathbf{404}$ -F. What is this?
- A. This is a summary of the cashed checks coming out of Mountain Management for the years 2001 and 2003.
  - Q. Again, this is to indicate the volume of cashed checks?
  - A. Correct.

5

6

7

16

- Q. Now, I want to go back to 404-C on this account for a moment, and I want to look at page 88 to 89.
- Again, this is the identified deposits. Do you have that in front of you, sir?
- 12 **A**. Yes, I do.
- Q. Down at the bottom there are some checks payable from Stratford Management to Office Services; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And if we continue onto the next page, there's another check for \$25,000 payable from Stratford Management to Office Services?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - **Q**. What were those deposit items?
- A. Those were deposited. They were made out -- the check was made out to Office Services deposited in this bank.
- Q. Where were they from?

- 1 A. They came from Stratford Management.
- 2 **Q.** Is that another account you summarized?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Where was that account?
- 5 A. Stratford Management I believe was in Colorado.
- 6 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 200-D, which are some
- 7 items from the Stratford account, page 11. Can we blow
- 8 that check up. Is that a check from Stratford
- 9 Management to Office Services?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Page 13 of the exhibit, is this another check to
- 12 Office Services?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Signed Melissa Sugar?
- 15 **A**. Yup.
- 16 Q. Page 16, is this another check from Stratford
- 17 Management to Office Services?
- 18 **A**. Yes.
- 19 Q. Let's see if we can get better checks. Let's try
- 20 18. Is that a check to Office Services?
- 21 A. Yup, from Stratford Management. Yes.
- 22 Q. Let's try 24. Check from Stratford Management to
- 23 office -- can you make that out?
- 24 A. It looks like Office Services.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And 39, page 39, is that a check payable to Office

Services?

1

- A. Yes, definitely.
- **Q**. All right. Let's take a look at the Stratford
- 4 account. You prepared summaries for that account.
- 5 And, first, let's take -- can I have Exhibit 41 on the
- 6 screen. Do you remember the evidence concerning this
- 7 exhibit?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 **Q.** And where was it from?
- 10 A. This was from Melissa Sugar information, and I
- 11 believe it was -- I think, I don't know, Mr. Dickerman
- maybe got it out of all the -- through the summons or
- 13 subpoena.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall a search warrant being talked
- 15 about?
- 16 A. Yeah, search warrant. Yup.
- 17 **Q**. And the UBAO name is Stratford Management?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Who is the client?
- 20 **A**. John Fall.
- 21 Q. Are there other entities listed for Mr. Fall?
- 22 A. Yes, there are.
- 23 Q. And that would be Managed Skills, Sibling
- 24 Holdings, Professional Equipment and Profit Management?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 **Q**. If we can withdraw. Are there other entities
- 2 listed as well?
- 3 A. Yes, there is.
- 4 Q. Is Managed Skills one of them?
- 5 A. Managed Skills, Sibling Holdings, Professional
- 6 Equipment, Profit Management, NE Realty Holdings and
- 7 Nathan Hale Associates.
- 8 **Q.** 405-A, please. What is this document?
- 9 A. As I explained in the other accounts, this is a
- 10 fact sheet for Stratford Management and located at
- 11 Compass Bank for the account ending 8152.
- 12 **Q**. All right. And how much in deposits ran through
- 13 this account?
- 14 A. About \$765,000.
- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let's take a look at 405-B, and this is a summary
- 16 of monthly deposits?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And there were deposits beginning as early as
- 19 | 2002; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes, starting in -- the statement ends
- 21 January 31st, 2002, yes.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let's go to 405-C. And what is this document?
- 23 A. This is a summary of the identified deposits in
- 24 this particular account, Stratford Management account.
- 25 \ Q. Okay. I noticed that there are a few identified

- deposits for 2002. Were you able to identify and obtain the deposit items for this entire account?
  - A. In 2002, if I can recall, there wasn't a lot of clear pictures of the deposited items, and this is all I could really see. So that's what I put down.
- Q. Understood. Are there payments to Nathan Hale
  Associates?
  - A. Yeah. They start -- it looks like it's in 2003.
- 9 **Q**. Are there payments from Comfort Dental to Nathan 10 Hale Associates?
- 11 A. Yes, there are.
- 12 **Q**. Allied Mortgage?
- 13 A. Yes.

4

5

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, Allied Mortgage is payable to ProfitManagement; is that correct?
  - A. Yeah. In that particular instance, yes.
  - Q. What was the evidence concerning who the payors were into Nathan Hale Associates?
    - A. Nathan Hale, I think it was Jorge Jaquez. He basically stated that Nathan Hale is the name on the rent applications that they were getting out at Broad Street.
    - MR. KANE: If we could have that page back up, please. If we can go to the next page.
  - Q. Are there some additional payments from Comfort

- 1 Dental to Managed Skills?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And you can withdraw that. Some additional payments from Allied Mortgage to Profit Management?
- 5 **A**. Yes.
- Q. And if we can go to the next page, please. Let's go to 405-D. What is this document?
- A. This is a summary of the total payees and depositors that flow through this account, Stratford Management. There's five of them.
  - **Q**. And other than Jorge Jaquez, are the remaining entities associated with John Fall on the Exhibit 441 that you saw?
- 14 **A.** Yes.

12

13

20

- Q. Let's go to 404-E (sic). And what is this document?
- A. This is the -- a chart that identifies the withdrawals coming out of this account from 2002 to 2004.
  - Q. And I see some withdrawals. Office Services, we've already looked at those; is that right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 **Q**. Withdrawals back to Broad Street; is that right?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. We can go to the next page and to page 3 of this

- 1 withdrawal summary. There are some withdrawals to
- 2 Consignment Partners. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And what was that?
- 5 A. That's another entity of John Fall's.
- 6 Q. If we can go to the next page. There's another
- 7 payee out of this account, a withdrawal to Avagon. Do
- 8 you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . How much is that for?
- 11 A. That is for \$37,000.
- 12 **Q**. And what was Avagon?
- 13 A. Avagon I believe was an account in Maryland.
- 14 Q. And did you summarize what you could find from
- 15 that account?
- 16 A. Avagon?
- 17 **Q**. Yes.
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And we'll be looking at that in a few moments.
- 20 There's another withdrawal to Olympic Business Systems.
- 21 What was that?
- 22 **A**. That looks like a wire for \$195,000.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And where was that wire sent?
- 24 A. It was sent to Olympic Business Systems, which I
- 25 believe is in Washington, the State of Washington.

- 1 **Q**. And there are some withdrawals to Professional
- 2 Equipment as well?
  - A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Do you know or recall where those checks went,
- 5 where they were deposited?
- 6 A. Professional Equipment, I can't recall right now.
- 7 Q. Let's take a look at the next page. That's the
- 8 last page of the exhibit. Okay. You just mentioned a
- 9 wire up to Olympic Business Systems in the State of
- 10 Washington?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 Q. Did you summarize some records from that bank
- account in the name of Olympic Business Systems?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q**. Let's take a look at 406-A. Can you tell us what
- 16 this is.
- |A| A. This is a summary of the total payees and
- depositors from Olympic Business Systems' account
- 19 ending in 9218, and this just totals all the payees and
- 20 depositors that I was able to identify.
- 21 Q. If we can go to the next page, please, and the
- 22 third page. I'm sorry. You can stay there. Is there
- a payee in the name of Eleganza Leasing listed?
- 24 A. Yeah. It's number 60. Yup.
- 25 Q. And what was Eleganza Leasing?

- A. That was another entity that John Fall set up.
- Q. Let's take a look at 406-B. Can you tell us what this exhibit is.
- A. This is a chart that identifies the deposits that
   were associated with Mr. Fall from Olympic Business
   Systems, 9218.
- 7 Q. And this was at U.S. Bank?
- 8 **A**. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 9 **Q**. And what were the nature of the payments to Nathan 10 Hale Associates?
  - A. Nathan Hale Associates, they would be -- they were rent checks.
  - MR. KANE: Let's go to the next page. And the next page. This is page 3 of the exhibit. And the next page.
    - **Q**. The last item on 406-B appears to be a check or a payment to Eleganza Leasing. Can you see that?
    - A. Yes, I do.
    - **Q**. From Duggan, Caccavaro & Ruboy. Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. How much is the amount?
- 22 **A**. \$214,924.39.
- 23 Q. What was the evidence concerning that payment?
- A. I believe that was from a -- it was a -- paperwork
  was on a transfer of a mortgage or -- either that or a

- 1 sale of land. I can't remember exactly which one.
- Q. Do you recall Ohio Street, the sale of Ohio Street being discussed in this trial?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

22

Q. Do you recall whether it was a payoff on Ohio Street? It's all right. If you don't recall, we'll move on.

Let's go to the withdrawal summary for the Olympic account at U.S. Bank, 406-C. And is this a summary of the identified withdrawals?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Now, this obviously is not a summary of all the withdrawals out of this account; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yeah. It just is the one associated with 15 Mr. Fall.
  - **Q**. Were you able to find -- well, strike that. The withdrawals, there are some withdrawals to Consignment Partners?
  - A. Yes, and Broad Street.
- Q. There's also withdrawal to Washington Allocation.

  Do you see that?
  - A. That's correct, yup, for 103,000.
- Q. This is an account in Seattle or in Washington,
  the State of Washington, I think you testified. Where
  did this payment go for Washington Allocation?

- 1 A. This payee -- it was 103,000. I believe it went
- 2 into -- I can't recall where that 103,000 went. I
- 3 believe it -- there are a lot of numbers here. I
- 4 believe that account --
- 5 **Q.** Don't guess. Don't guess. Do you recall
- 6 summarizing accounts down in Texas?
- 7 A. Yes. I summarized the Washington Allocation
- 8 account.
- 9 Q. We'll be getting to that. There's also a
- 10 withdrawal to American Century. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 **Q**. Was there an account at American Century where
- 13 this money went?
- 14 A. Yes, there was.
- 15 Q. And how much was that?
- 16 A. That was for 2,500.
- 17 Q. Let's have 249-F at page 1. Does that appear to
- 18 be the check --
- 19 A. Yup, that would be the check for 2,500. Yup.
- 20 Q. Now let's go on to the second -- I believe there
- 21 is a second Olympic Business Systems account.
- THE COURT: Mr. Kane, before we do that, why
- 23 don't we take the mid-morning break. It seems like a
- reasonable time to break.
- 25 Ladies and gentlemen, continue not to discuss

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

this case amongst yourselves. We'll be back in about 15 minutes. (Recess.) Mr. Kane. THE COURT: Thank you, your Honor. MR. KANE: THE COURT: Agent Pleshaw, you're still under oath. Do you understand that? THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Agent Pleshaw, we were discussing the summaries you prepared for bank accounts in the State of Washington, specifically in the name of Olympic Business Systems. We looked at one account. I want to show you the second account, if we can have 407-A. you tell us what that document shows. Α. This is a fact sheet for the other Olympic Business Systems account ending in 0015 at Bank of America; and it was open from February 18th, 2003, to August 22nd, 2006; and it has a little more than 6.7 million that went through this account. Q. And how many depositors? Α. Twenty-seven that I could identify. Q. Did you find any Fall-related items in this account?

If we could take a look at 407-C. Do you have

- 1 that in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I do.

3

7

8

9

20

21

22

- **Q**. And what does this show?
- A. This is a summary of the deposits that were
   associated with Mr. Fall, and there were two wires from
   Comfort Dental totaling 207,000.
  - **Q**. And, again, this is not a summary of all the deposits into this account; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- 10 **Q**. And what does it represent?
- A. This chart just represents the items that are associated with Mr. Fall. There were several other items that didn't pertain to this trial.
- 14 Q. Were you able to read all of the deposits?
- A. If I was able to read them, they would be on the chart if they were associated with Mr. Fall.
- 17 Q. And 407-D. Is this a listing of all the payees?
- A. These are all the ones I could identify going through this particular account ending in 0015.
  - **Q.** And a few of these payees are Olympic Business Systems itself, which is the name on the account; is that right?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the checks from Comfort Dental were to Olympic Business Systems?

A. That's correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- Q. Let's move on to accounts in Maryland, and let's take a look at 408-A. Tell us what this is.
  - A. This is a fact sheet for Avagon, LLC, which is located in the Baltimore County Savings Bank. The account, last four numbers is 5645; and it is -- was open from February 13th, 2002, until January 9th, 2006; and a little over 4.1 million went through this account during that period; and I was able to identify five payees/depositors.
  - **Q**. Let's take a look at 408-C. And what is this document?
    - **A**. Again, this chart is -- identifies the deposits only associated with Mr. Fall, and I was only able to identify one for \$37,000, a wire.
    - **Q**. And where is that from?
- 17 A. That's coming from Compass Bank.
  - **Q**. And where was that located?
- A. Compass Bank, I believe Compass Bank was I think
  Texas, but I'm not really sure.
- Q. Do you recall whether this is the Stratford
  Management account?
  - A. If I cross-reference it, probably.
- Q. I notice in this particular account there's one item; but I believe your testimony earlier when we were

- looking at the Stratford account, there were several either wires or checks payable to Avagon. Can you explain what appears to be maybe a discrepancy.
- A. Again, depending on the copies you're getting from the bank, sometimes you'll be able to identify it on one bank but you won't be able to identify it in the Avagon account; and that's what happened probably -- that happened a lot, but -- so you'll see, again, if you went to the Compass Bank, you would probably see it over there, but you won't see it come over here just because the bank wasn't able to give me a clear picture of the item.
- **Q.** All right. And I believe you summarized two additional Avagon accounts, one at Wachovia Bank as well as Sun Trust Bank; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

- **Q**. Why don't we take a look at the Avagon account at Wachovia Bank, 410-A.
- A. Again, this is the fact sheet for Avagon at Wachovia Bank. Last four numbers is 4745 of the account. Account was open from March 21st, 2006, to January 13th, 2007 -- excuse me, July 13th, 2007. And I was able -- total deposits were a little over \$230,000 running through this account.
- **Q**. Let's take a look at the withdrawals, the

- 1 identified withdrawals at 410-D. And can you tell us 2 what this shows.
  - A. Yeah. This chart identifies the withdrawals associated with Mr. Fall, and I was only able to identify one to St. Mary's Bay View Academy for \$3,000.
- Q. Let's take a look at 410-E. And what does this show?
  - A. This is a summary chart showing all the checks that were cashed out of this particular Avagon account for the year 2007.
  - Q. And if we look at the last page of this exhibit, page 3, how much in total cashed checks?
  - **A**. \$144,982.27.

- Q. How did that compare to the overall deposits in this account?
  - **A**. I believe the overall deposits was about 300,000, so almost half.
    - **Q**. Was there any evidence admitted that the Defendant received cash back to Broad Street through the mail?
    - A. Yeah, I believe in one of the exhibits he was requesting money back in the form of a package to Comfort Dental.
  - **Q.** Put that exhibit away. Let's turn to the State of Iowa. Did you summarize bank records from the State of Iowa?

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Taking a look at 411-A, tell us what this is.
- A. This is a fact sheet for the account in Iowa State Bank, Accurate Bookkeeping Company, account 144045, and basically the same facts that we've been mentioning on other accounts.

In this case it was opened prior to

December 3rd, 2004, and it was closed May 29th, 2007,

there was a little over \$2.5 million in deposits that

ran through this account for that period, and I was

able to identify 30 payees/depositors.

- **Q**. Let's take a look at the identified deposits for this account, 411-C. And what does this exhibit show?
- A. Again, this is a chart that summarizes the deposits that are associated with Mr. Fall from this particular account for -- from 2004 to 2006.
- **Q**. There are some payments from a Pamela McGrath to NE Realty Holdings. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What was NE Realty Holdings?
- A. That was a company that Mrs. McGrath and her husband were in the process of buying a house from, and they were renting, also.
  - Q. And Medical Marketing Associates -- I'm sorry,
    Medical Marketing Professionals received a payment from

- 1 | Comfort Dental; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, they did.
- 3 Q. And what was Medical Marketing Professionals?
- A. That was an expense for advertising on ComfortDental.
- Q. And NE Realty Holdings from Shane McGrath -- I'm sorry, Shane Gilreath, do you know what that is?
  - A. Which one are we looking at?
- Q. I'm sorry, Shane Gilreath. Let me withdraw the
   question. Do you recall Medical Marketing
   Professionals being an entity of John Fall?
- 12 **A.** Yes, I do.

13

14

16

17

- Q. And do you see checks from JV Services to Medical Marketing Professionals, including a check for \$32,500?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
  - **Q**. And that particular payment from JV Services into this account in Iowa, where's that check coming from?
- A. When I was looking at the JV Services account, those checks were coming from Comfort Dental.
  - Q. But the payment from JV Services here --
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. -- is that coming directly from Comfort Dental or is that coming from another account somewhere?
- 24 A. Oh, there's another account under JV Services.
- 25 Yeah. Comfort Dental, then it would go to JV Services'

- 1 account, and then JV Services wrote out a check to 2 deposit in this account for Medical Marketing.
  - **Q**. Do you recall -- the account that we're looking at right now was from Iowa?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you recall in looking at the records where this
  JV Services account was from which this check came?
  - A. From the JV Services account, I believe it was --
  - **Q**. That's all right.
  - A. I can't recall right now.
- 11 Q. That's all right. We'll look at the records.
- There's a lot to look at. Why don't we put that exhibit away.
  - Let's move to the accounts in Texas. Did you summarize accounts from Texas?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- 17 **Q**. Let's take a look at 412-A. Can you tell us what this is.
  - A. This is a fact sheet for Liberty Bookkeeping

    Systems at the Bank of America, Bank of Texas account,

    last four numbers 7994. This account was opened on

    April 24th, 2004. The records that I have showed that

    it's still open as of the 29th of December of 2006, and

    it was -- about \$961,000 went through this account, and

    I was able to identify 13 payees/depositors.

- 1 **Q**. Okay. And the title on the account is Liberty
- 2 Bookkeeping Systems?

13

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And the signature authority is Alison Lewis and Mark Blessing?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. If we can go to 412-C. Can you tell us what this exhibit is.
- A. This exhibit is a summary chart of the identified deposits that I was able -- associated with Fall that were run through this particular bank account from 2005 to 2006, Liberty Bookkeeping Systems.
  - **Q**. All right. And are there checks from Broad Street and Comfort Dental into this account?
- 15 A. Yes, there are.
- Q. Are there checks to both Washington Allocation and JV Services into this account?
- 18 A. Yes, there are.
- 19 **Q**. And for 2005, how much were those checks? How 20 much did they total?
- 21 A. They totaled \$21,830.
- Q. Let's take a look at the second account from
  American Bank of Texas. If I can have 413-A. Can you
  tell us what this is.
- 25 A. It's a fact sheet for an account titled

- 1 JVC Services, LLC (sic), located at the American Bank
- 2 of Texas. Final account -- final four numbers of the
- 3 account is 8885. It was opened on April 26th, 2005,
- 4 closed June 4th, 2007, and about \$156,000 ran through
- 5 that account for that time period.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Again, the title on this account is JV Services,
- 7 LLC?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let's take a look at 413-C, and what does this
- 10 show?
- 11 A. This is a chart that I produced that identifies
- 12 the deposit items that are associated with Mr. Fall
- that ran through the JVC account from 2005 to 2007.
- 14 Q. And who are the payments from?
- 15 A. In this case they were all paid from Comfort
- 16 Dental.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And who were the payments to?
- 18 A. All made to JVC Services.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And what was the total amount of payments?
- 20 A. The grand total for all the years is \$142,992.48.
- 21 Q. And what was the evidence at trial as to how these
- 22 payments were being treated on the corporate returns?
- 23 A. These were being written off under Comfort Dental.
- 24 Q. Do you recall what line or as what --
- 25 A. This was under -- it was either lease expense or

- 1 advertising.
- Q. Let's take a look at the third account at American
  Bank of Texas. If we could have 414-A. Tell us about
- 4 this account.
- 5 A. This account is under the New England -- NERH,
- 6 LLC, which is New England Realty Holdings, which is
- 7 another entity Mr. Fall set up. This account is
- 8 located at American Bank of Texas; the last four
- 9 numbers of the account is 8826; and it was opened
- 10 April 29th, 2005, and it was closed January 29th, 2007;
- and the total deposits were a little over 442,000.
- 12 **Q**. Let's take a look at 414-C. And what is this
- 13 document, 414-C?
- 14 A. This is a chart I produced that identifies the
- deposit items associated with Mr. Fall that ran through
- 16 this account from 2005 to 2007.
- 17 Q. Do you see the payments from Allied Mortgage?
- 18 **A**. Yes, I do.
- 19 **Q**. And are they payable to NE Realty Holdings?
- 20 A. Yes, they are.
- 21 Q. What is NE Realty Holdings?
- 22 A. That's an entity that Mr. Fall set up.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let me show you 86-B. Let's go to 86-B at page 1.
- 24 Do you have that in front of you?
- 25 **A.** Yes, I do.

- 1 Q. And the title at the top is Allied to Profit
- 2 Management and NE Realty Holdings. Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. And it says income and outgo?
- 5 **A**. Yes.
- 6 Q. This was from the Jorge Jaquez disks. Did you
- 7 have a chance to look at this?
- 8 A. Yes, I did. Yup.
- 9 Q. If we can go to the second page, we'll get a
- 10 better reading. There are some payments reflected here
- 11 in this summary from Allied Mortgage to, for example,
- 12 NE Realty. Do you see that?
- 13 **A**. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. How was -- how were these payments characterized
- 15 by this document?
- 16 A. The "I" would stand for income based on that
- 17 sheet.
- 18 Q. Let's go back to 414-C. There appear to be some
- 19 transfers from Olympic Business Systems to NERH into
- 20 this account; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And the item at the top, how much was that
- 23 transfer for?
- 24 A. The total for 2005 was -- I believe that's
- 25 | \$263,000.

- Q. I'm sorry. The transfer from Olympic Business
  Systems, how much is that?
  - A. The transfer from Olympic Business Systems was \$183,925.
  - **Q**. All right. Let's take a look at 414-D, which is the withdrawals, and if I could have the ELMO. What does this document show?
  - **A**. This is a chart that was produced to identify the withdrawals associated with Mr. Fall from this NERH account ending in 8826.
  - **Q**. And there's a withdrawal of \$90,000?
  - A. Yes, there was.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUROR: Are we supposed to be seeing that?

MR. KANE: Yes.

THE COURT: You can put it down, Mr. Kane.

Now can you see it?

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: It's a fully admitted exhibit, so you're certainly entitled to see it.

- **Q**. There are some payments out of this account to, for example, Duggan & Caccavaro, one for \$120,000. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I do.
- **Q**. Do you recall what the evidence at trial was concerning those payments?

- A. Again, it was either a sale of a property or a mortgage that was refinanced.
  - **Q**. Do you recall Mr. Caccavaro talking about the private loans that he handled for NERH?
- A. Yes, he did. Yes, I do.

- MR. KANE: Could I have the monitor again.
- Q. That was the third account from the American Bank of Texas. Let's take a look at the fourth account and 415-A, please. Tell us about this account.
- A. Again, this is a fact sheet for the NERH account at American Bank of Texas that ends in 8842. It was opened May 19th, 2005. It was closed January 4th, 2007. It had -- a little over \$370,000 ran through this account.
- **Q**. 415-C. This is a summary of the deposit items in that account?
- **A.** Yes, it is. It summarizes the items that were associated with Mr. Fall from 2005, 2006.
- **Q**. And there was a transfer from Olympic Business Systems in Washington down to this Texas account?
- **A.** Yeah. It was a wire on 1/26, 2006, for 97,200.
- Q. And what was the check from John Greene?
- A. John Greene, that was a check for a closing on one of the properties Mr. Fall owned.
- 25 Q. Let's take a look at another Texas bank account.

- Do you recall summarizing records at the Security Bank of Whitesboro?
  - A. Yes, I do.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Let's have 416-A. Different bank, new account.Can you tell us about this one.
  - A. Again, this is a fact sheet for Washington
    Allocation account, which is located at Security Bank
    of Whitesboro, an account with last four digits 4022;
    and it was opened on August 15, 2005, and it was closed
    June 29th, 2007; and a little over \$524,000 went
    through this account.
  - **Q**. 416-C, please.
- A. Basically this is a chart that I produced that identifies the deposit items that went through this account that are associated with Mr. Fall.
  - Q. And it includes items from Broad Street as well as Comfort Dental?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - **Q**. If we can go to the next page, please. All right. Taking a look at 416-D, what is this chart?
    - A. This chart is a chart I produced to identify the withdrawals associated with Mr. Fall from this particular account ending in 4022 from Washington Allocation.
- 25 \ Q. And are there withdrawals to Lexus Financial

- 1 Services?
- 2 A. Yes, there are.
- **Q.** If we can go to the next page, please. Is there a
- 4 withdrawal to Venture Lending Group?
- 5 **A**. Yes.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And how much is that for?
- 7 A. In this particular one, it looks like \$15,000.
- 8 Q. Let's go to the next page. Is there a wire to
- 9 Avagon?
- 10 A. Yup. There's a wire for 97,500.
- 11 **Q**. Is there another transfer to Avagon?
- 12 A. Yup, another one for Avagon for 7,500.
- 13 Q. Is there another one for Avagon?
- 14 A. Another one for \$4,000.
- 15 **Q.** And another one for Avagon?
- 16 A. That last one is 6,250.
- 17 Q. Next page, please. Is there another check to
- 18 Avagon?
- 19 A. Yup. That one's for \$60,010.
- 20 **Q**. That looks like a wire; correct?
- 21 A. Yeah, that is a wire. Yup.
- 22 **Q**. All right. Let's take a look at an account you
- 23 summarized in the name of NERH at Fidelity, 417-A, and
- 24 what was this account?
- 25 A. This is a Fidelity account under NERH, the account

- ending in 3287 at Fidelity. It was opened by John Joseph Fall, so he's the signature authority. Date opened was December 14th, 2007, and I have records that it was still open as of December 31st, 2008; and \$22,500 ran through this account at that time.
- Q. 417-C. Is this a chart of the deposit items?
- A. Yes, this is a chart of the deposit items, and the one I identified was for 22,500 from Allied Home

  Mortgage Capital.
  - **Q**. Did you summarize an account in the name of The Smart Buyer at American Century Investments?
- A. Yes, I did.

- 13 Q. 418-A, please. And what was this account?
- A. This is the account located at American Century
  Investments under The Smart Buyer Company. The
  signature authority is John Fall. It was opened on
  August 18th, 2003, and it was still open as of
  December 31st, 2007; and \$53,207 ran through the
  - **Q**. If you can go back to the 449 exhibit, which is the summary list of your summary exhibits. Do you have that in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I do.

account.

**Q**. And if you could turn to the last page, there are some additional exhibits identified beginning with 21-A

- 1 and going through 21-E. Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- **Q**. And are those demonstrative exhibits that you
- 4 reviewed?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 **Q**. And verified the numbers?
- 7 A. Yeah, I reviewed -- I didn't produce the charts,
- 8 but I reviewed them and made sure they're accurate.
- 9 **Q**. And it's your understanding that the IRS trial
- 10 illustration unit drew up these demonstratives?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 **Q**. And that they were provided to you to look at to
- see if they were accurate?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 **Q**. And a fair demonstration of some of the flows of
- the money between the accounts?
- 17 A. Yeah, basically charts to show the flow of the
- money through the accounts we just went through, and I
- 19 just went through it and checked to make sure all the
- 20 numbers and exhibits were correct.
- 21 **Q**. And these are just examples to show some of the
- 22 transfers going on?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. And are these demonstratives fair and accurate
- demonstratives of some of the money flows between the

accounts?

A. Yes.

MR. KANE: Your Honor, I move --

- **Q**. And you did make some amendments to it. They were provided to you from the trial illustration unit through counsel, and you did make some changes to that to ensure their accuracy and their fairness?
- A. Yes, I did.

MR. KANE: Your Honor, I move the following exhibits for the limited purpose of demonstration: 41-A through -- 421-A through 421-E.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I'm not going to object, but I'd ask the Court to give an instruction for the purpose of demonstrative evidence.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to -- certainly you can use them based on the testimony as demonstrative evidence. I want to hear whether or not they're admitted as full exhibits, which has not been my practice. Rather, they're demonstratives that the jury can use to aid and assist the testimony.

If you want to argue to me later, perhaps at lunchtime, that they, in fact, should be admitted as full exhibits, I'll hear you under 1006 or other rules; but for now you've established what's necessary, this Court believes, for purposes of using them as

demonstratives which the Court finds would aid and assist the jury in determining the facts in this case.

So, ladies and gentlemen, at this time, though the Court may revisit it, the purpose of you seeing these documents are that they will assist you in understanding the evidence that the Court has -- may or may not assist you in understanding and appreciating the evidence that the Court has admitted in.

As of right now they're not evidence, per se, but rather demonstratives, sometimes we call them chalks here, to assist you in understanding the evidence.

MR. KANE: Thank you, your Honor.

(Government Exhibits 421-A through E marked for identification.)

- **Q**. Let's have 421-A. Do you have that in front of you?
- A. Yes, I do.

- **Q**. And the title of this summary is Transfers of Money From Comfort Dental and Broad Street Tenants to Colorado, Washington and Maryland Accounts, 2003 through 2004; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- **Q**. Just focusing on the top part of the chart, just take us through from left and right and tell us what

this shows.

A. Okay. You start off with the first box on the left, right there. It's Comfort Dental, Broad Street tenants. You take all those items that -- and the total of those items for 2003 were \$211,245.

And that's just showing that those checks went from Comfort Dental into Stratford Management. Okay. That totaled 211,245.

And then at Stratford Management you can see a check for \$37,000 was made out and moved into the Avagon account in Maryland.

So you're just trying to -- in this particular instance, you're just trying to follow from Comfort Dental and where the money went all the way to Avagon.

- **Q**. And the payment from Stratford to Avagon, you're not suggesting that's all the payments or that you're capturing the entire activity in this account. You're just isolating that payment to show movement between accounts?
- A. That's correct. That's the same with all the charts.
- **Q**. Let's go to the bottom part, and just tell us what this shows.
- A. Okay. Again we're starting off with Comfort

  Dental and Broad Street tenants, so the checks from

those particular entities for the year 2004, and I was able to -- I was able to find three or four items that moved from -- these particular checks that moved on to Stratford Management.

And at the top you see one for 30,050. That check moved on to Stratford Management. And that could be one check. It could be a combination of checks, too.

And then right below that there's one for \$76,675. Those checks or check were moved on and went to Olympic Business Systems. And then the bottom one for \$207,000, those checks or check was moved on to Olympic Business Systems in that particular account.

And in this particular flow-through, you can see from Stratford Management, right there, there's a check for \$195,000 that went from Stratford Management and it went into the Olympic Business Systems account 9218. So it just shows you the flow of how some of that money coming out of Stratford Management ended up.

And then the final one was \$225,711, and that could be a combination of a couple of checks from Consignment Partners that ended up in the Avagon account.

And, again, this just shows you the flow of how the money went from Comfort Dental all the way to

Avagon and it was going through these different accounts.

- **Q**. Again, just for purposes of example and demonstration, you're not capturing all the financial activity in these accounts?
- A. No.

- Q. All right. 421-B. And what does this show?
- A. This is a chart that shows the transfer of money from Stratford Management to Office Services deposited into the Mountain Management account for 2002.

And so basically you have your first block, which is Stratford Management 8152 account, and that's actually -- that particular figure, \$437,000, was deposited in that account for that particular time period.

And then I was -- found items for Office Services, again, it could be one check, it could be maybe several checks, that were moved on to Mountain Management account 1245. So out of the \$437,000, \$155,000 went to Office Services' account.

- **Q.** 421-C.
- A. Okay. Again, this chart's titled Transfers of
  Money to the State of Washington and from Washington to
  Texas for the Years 2004 to 2005.
  - Again, we'll start off on the left for the top

part. Okay. These are checks from Comfort Dental and Broad Street from 2004 to 2005, and from there \$89,975 of those checks ended up in the Olympic Business Systems account 9218 in Washington.

And then from there I was able to find \$103,000 of items or item that went to Washington Allocation account 4022 in Texas. So the flow is from, well, Comfort Dental, Broad Street, Rhode Island, to an account in Washington to an account in Texas.

- **Q**. And when you have Comfort Dental and Broad Street tenants here, you're talking about two different sources, the Comfort Dental operating account and then the separate source which would be Broad Street tenants?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. All right. The bottom part of the chart.
- A. Okay. Again, it starts off with checks from Comfort Dental, and of those \$207,000 of them ended up in this Olympic Business Systems account out in Washington, account 0015.

And then from there I was able to trace I think it's \$183,925 to NERH 8826 account in Texas; and, again, that could be one item for 183 or it could be a combination of items.

**Q**. Okay. 421-D.

- A. Okay. This is a chart that's classified as

  Transfers of Money from the Sale of 35 Forbes Street.
  - Q. Anthony DiBiase was the closing attorney?
  - A. Yes, he was.

- **Q**. And a check was cut for \$162,587 as a payoff to Washington Allocation?
- 7 A. Yeah, Washington Allocation account in Texas. 8 Yes.
  - **Q**. And then what does the right side of the chart show?
  - A. Basically of that \$182,000, Mr. Fall was able to put monies in different accounts of Avagon. On the top was \$6,250 ended up in the Avagon Maryland account, and then \$60,010 ended up in the Avagon 7097 account in Maryland, and then on the very bottom \$104,010 ended up in the Avagon 709 account, too.
  - **Q**. Exhibit 421-E. And can you tell us what this exhibit shows.
  - A. Yup. The title of this chart is Transfers of Money, Deposition of Funds Paid from Comfort Dental to JVC Services (sic). So, again, we start from the left, which is Comfort Dental, 2005, 2007. And of that I was able to determine \$142,992 went to JVC Services account 8885, which is in Texas.

And then from there I followed monies going to

Accurate Bookkeeping Services, 144045, and I was able to find more checks for \$19,688 going to Accurate Bookkeeping Services, 144045.

And then \$26,000 went to Washington Allocation 4022 account in Texas, and the first two accounts in Accurate Bookkeeping were in Iowa. And then at the end were JVC checks that were made to advertising companies, and that totaled \$48,710.

- **Q.** All right. That last item, checks forwarded to the advertising companies, did you hear the testimony from Ken Cournoyer and perhaps other witnesses concerning those payments?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. And were those accounted for in the final audit adjustment by the IRS?
- A. Yes, they were.
- **Q**. And you've prepared some summaries of the adjustments as well, and are they accounted for in the adjustment?
- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And these other items, did they go to advertising?
- A. I believe so, yes. Accurate Bookkeeping, I think that was the -- the 55 was medical.
  - **Q**. Did they go to advertising or did they go to other accounts?

- A. Oh, oh. The Washington Allocation and Accurate Bookkeeping?
  - Q. Yes. Where are these -- where's Accurate Bookkeeping located?
    - A. Accurate Bookkeeping is in Iowa.
- Q. And where is the second Accurate Bookkeeping entry, where was that?
  - A. Iowa.

4

5

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 9 **Q**. And Washington Allocation, that was another account in Texas?
- 11 A. That's another account in Texas.
- 12 **Q**. And those payments didn't go to advertising?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. All right. 421-F. And what does this show?
  - A. This shows the transfer of monies from the sale of 37 Sagamore Street and NERH, LLC, payoff.
    - So it starts with John Greene, who is the attorney handling the sale of this property. The check for \$153,239 ended up in NERH, LLC, account 8842 in Texas; and from there I was able to find \$180,000 going to the -- I think it's Caccavaro attorney for private loans.
  - **Q**. And one final demonstrative, 421-G. What does this show?
- 25 A. This shows the transfers of money, payments by

Comfort Dental and Broad Street to Washington
Allocation and the transition of funds thereafter.

So, again, we start off to the left. You'll see Comfort Dental and Broad Street for 2005. I was able to trace \$51,183 worth of checks to Washington Allocation 4022 account located in Texas, and from there I was -- there was about 500 -- no, 5,600 of those checks from Washington Allocation went to the Lexus car payments and \$44,000 went back to Broad Street.

- **Q**. Okay. Let's take a look back at your summary sheet, and I want to show you the final set of summaries. And if you can look at the last page of 449 beginning at Exhibit 22. Do you have that in front of you?
- A. Four?

17 THE COURT: 422.

- Q. 422 is the exhibit identified on 449.
- 19 A. On the chart?
- Q. I'm sorry. On the summary sheet, the list of exhibits.
- **A**. 0h.
  - Q. If you can turn to the last page.
- A. Yup, 422, identified deposits paid to Washington
  Allocation.

- **Q**. And you prepared that chart?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- **Q.** And 423 is a summary of advertising adjustments
- 4 that you prepared based on the admitted evidence?
- 5 **A**. Yes.

- Q. And is that a fair and accurate summary based on the admitted evidence?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 **Q**. And 424 is a summary of your adjustments for 10 leasing expenses?
- 11 **A**. Yes, it is.
- Q. And there are some additional summaries here listed on 449 through 426-B. Are they true and accurate summaries of the admitted evidence?
- 15 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. KANE: Your Honor, I move the admission of 422, 423, 424, 424-A, 425-A, 425-B, 425-C, 426-A and 426-B.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: I object, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Objection is overruled. Exhibits 422, 423, 424, 424-A, 425-A through C, and 426-A and B are admitted as full exhibits.
- (Government Exhibits 422, 423, 424, 424-A, 425-A through C, and 426-A and B admitted in full.)
- 25 Q. Let's take a look at 422. Can you tell us what

this shows.

- A. This is a chart I produced that identifies the deposit items paid to JVC, LLC, and Washington Allocation from Comfort Dental for the years 2005 to 2007.
- **Q**. And if we can go to the second page of this exhibit, what are the total payments by Comfort Dental to these entities for those years?
- A. The total, the grand total is \$262,184.09.
- **Q**. 423. Can you tell us what this is.
- A. Yeah. This is a chart I produced that gives you the breakdown of the advertising adjustments that I proposed from 2005 to 2007 for Comfort Dental.
- **Q**. All right. Let's just take the top part of the chart. And what does this show?
  - A. We'll start with 2005. Basically the first figure is the per return figure. That would be \$119,496. That's a figure that was claimed on the tax return. Okay.

And the next figure is as corrected, which is \$68,335.50. That figure is the figure I came up with based on the evidence that was put through through trial.

And the final figure is the adjustment, which is \$51,160.50. That's the adjustment that was made --

- that you would make on the return basically disallowing \$51,000 worth of advertising expenses in 2005.
- Q. Now, I think you testified that adjustment that you made is based on the admitted evidence; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. And 2005 was not under audit by Ken Cournoyer that we heard through trial; is that right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 **Q**. It was just 2006 and 2007?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. But you looked at other evidence in the case and made a determination on 2005 as well?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if we go down further on the page, is this the work you're showing on how you came about that figure?
- 17 **A**. Yes, it is.
- Q. And this portion of the document shows checks to

  JV Services; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Which would be disallowed; is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Based on the admitted evidence?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And if we could pull out. And the bottom part is

- 1 amounts you're essentially giving back; is that right?
- 2 A. Yeah. I'm allowing the payments to these
- 3 advertising companies.
  - Q. And the net difference is 51,160?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. And did you perform the same calculation for 2006
- 7 and 2007?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. And are your figures and your adjustments for 2006
- and 2007 the same as the IRS's adjustment during this
- 11 civil examination?
- 12 **A**. Yes, it is.
- 13 **Q**. And were you able to verify those calculations by
- 14 looking at the actual bank records and the deposits at
- 15 JV Services and the withdrawals?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 **Q**. All right. Let's look at 424. And tell us what
- 18 this is.
- 19 A. These are the adjustments to the Comfort Dental
- 20 tax return that I proposed for equipment lease and
- 21 lease expenses, dental x-ray, from 2002 to 2006 based
- 22 on the admitted evidence.
- 23 Q. And the final adjustments are on the bottom line;
- is that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes, they are.

- Q. And that's what was disallowed based on the admitted evidence?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. For 2002 through 2004, how did you come up with this adjustment? What evidence did you base your determination on?
  - A. It would be based on the evidence that was -- the bank statements that were put through in trial and, you know, inspected by me.
  - Q. What was the evidence concerning Managed Skills, do you remember?
- 12 A. Oh, the Managed Skills, again, this is lease; 13 right?
- 14 **Q**. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. The Managed Skills, that was the one that Mr. Fall would give the paperwork and it would be based on the percentage of sales, and then there would also be sometimes based on the -- just a regular, you know, figure each month; but there was Managed Skills and two other entities that were getting paid.
- **Q**. Let's take a look at 87-B. And this was an admitted exhibit taken from the Jorge Jaquez disks, and it's an income/outgo spreadsheet for Comfort Dental.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Let's turn to the second page to get a clearer copy. Do you have that in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I do.

8

23

24

- Q. Were these payments from Comfort Dental to Managed
  Skills, Profit Management and Professional Equipment?
- 6 A. Yes, they are.
- 7 Q. And how were these recorded by Mr. Fall?
  - A. They were categorized as expense items.
- 9 **Q**. Is this the document you used to calculate the adjustments for 2002 through 2004?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 **Q**. As well as the other evidence concerning Managed Skills?
- 14 A. Yup. Yes.
- Q. All right. Let's have 424 again, please. And so you made final adjustments for 2002, 2003, 2004, 5 and 6 for equipment leasing and expenses?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 **Q**. Let's go to 424-A. And what does this show us?
- A. This is basically the summary of all the adjustments that I proposed to Comfort Dental tax returns from 2002 to 2007.
  - **Q**. And the adjustments here for 2002 through 2004 are adjustments that you made based on the admitted evidence here?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 **Q**. And 2006 and 2007 are consistent with the civil
- 3 examination by the IRS?
- 4 A. They are.
- 5 Q. And 2005 you based on the admitted evidence?
- 6 **A**. Yes.
- Q. And the final adjustments for each year are on the bottom line, total for all adjustments?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 **Q**. And you also prepared some summaries concerning 11 the tax due and owing by John Fall, the Defendant?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- 13 Q. Let's have 425-A. What does this show?
- A. This is a summary sheet showing taxes, penalties and interest owed by John Fall from 1998 to the year
- 16 2000.
- 17 **Q**. And what did you base these calculations on?
- A. These are based on the evidence that was put through through the trial, the transcripts.
- Q. And did that include the examination file and the calculations by the IRS civil exam?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 **Q**. And what is the tax due and owing for 1998?
- 24 A. For 1998, it's \$66,150.
- Q. And what's the tax due and owing for '99?

- 1 **A**. \$33,576.81.
- 2 **Q.** And what's the tax due and owing for 2000?
- 3 **A**. \$11,760.82.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And what's the total tax due and owing for all three years?
- 6 A. The grand total is \$111,438.13.
- Q. If we can zoom out. And the next part of the chart shows your work in terms of how you came to those calculations?
  - A. That's correct. It's just the breakdown of how I came up with the figures.
  - Q. There are some penalties included here as well; is that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
  - **Q**. The actual tax owed is this line; is that correct?
    - A. Yeah. Each category's going to show you -- is going to have the total for the taxes, which is one category; then you have the total for the penalties; and then if you go a little further, there's a total
    - Q. The tax due and owing without penalties and interest, just the tax due and owing for all three years, approximates 27,000 plus 10,000 and change and almost 5,000, so that's 42,000?
  - A. Yeah, approximately.

for the interest, also.

- **Q**. And that is as a tax due and owing?
- 2 A. That's only the tax, correct.
  - **Q**. And then the penalties are down below?
  - A. The penalties and interest are added on.
- 5 Q. Now, this audit or these audits for '98 and '99
- 6 and 2000 were based on W2s, 1099s hitting the IRS
- 7 system; is that right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Do such examinations necessarily capture all the
- 10 taxpayer's income?
- 11 A. No, because that's just the stuff that's getting
- recorded at the IRS based on other companies sending in
- 13 letting them know how much this particular person
- 14 earned.

1

3

- 15 **Q**. Was there other evidence in the case that for 1999
- and 2000 Mr. Fall received additional payments?
- 17 A. Yes, there was.
- 18 Q. Let's have 425-B. And what does this show?
- 19 A. These are the summary of payments to either John
- 20 Fall or other entities for the year 1999 and 2000.
- 21 Q. And you did this calculation just for the -- those
- 22 audit years; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And how much by way of additional payments did you
- 25 find in these accounts for '99?

**A**. \$42,838.50.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And how about 2000?
- 3 **A**. \$56,947.05.
- Q. And did you perform a, or prepare a separate
   summary building in these payments in order to
   calculate a tax due and owing?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Let's have 425-C. And what is this summary?
  - A. This is a summary of taxes, penalties and interest owed by John Fall from '98 to 2000 adding in the tax owed -- that would be owed on that additional income he earned in 1999 and 2000.
    - **Q**. And if we go down below where your work is set forth, can you show us where -- well, I guess where the taxes are set forth for '99, you have additional tax of \$12,782; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's based off of the total payments,additional payments that you calculated in the previoussummary?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And for 2000, there's an additional \$17,050 in additional tax?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And that's based on the additional payments you

found for 2000?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if those payments are included, the additional tax or the total tax due and owing for all three years approximates \$71,000, give or take?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. We can put that exhibit aside. Two final exhibits. 426-A. Can you tell us what this exhibit is intended to show.
  - A. This is a summary that's -- I'm trying to identify all the items associated with John Fall from all the bank accounts we just spoke about from 1999 to 2007.
  - **Q.** Now, this summary isn't intended to suggest this is all income to Mr. Fall; is that correct?
  - A. No. This is just anything -- any deposit I was able to identify that was associated with John Fall.
  - Q. And it includes some transfers between accounts, so you haven't accounted --
- 19 A. Correct.
  - **Q**. -- for deducting those?
- A. Yeah. Again, it's just identified as the deposits. It's not classifying what's income and what's not income, such as transfers.
  - **Q**. Just to capture the financial activity?
- 25 A. Exactly, trace it and showing you all the money

and where everything went.

- **Q**. And if we look at the last page of this exhibit,
- 3 number 17, how much by way of total deposits into
- 4 various accounts for this exhibit?
- 5 A. The grand total for those years, a little over
- 6 \$2.1 million.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

- **Q**. And 226-B, that's just a summary of those payments by year?
- 9 A. That's correct, just to summarize all the numbers.
  - MR. KANE: Okay. Nothing further, your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Kane.
  - Mr. Fitzgerald.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## **BY MR. FITZGERALD**:

- Q. Good afternoon, Agent Pleshaw.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- 17 **Q**. Okay. Maybe it's easiest if we just kind of start
- on a couple of the things you just talked about.
- 19 **A.** Sure.
- 20 Q. So we keep everybody a little focused here.
- 21 426-B, can I have that brought up, please. 426-B
- 22 was -- that's all of the items, all of the deposit
- 23 items from all of the accounts or entities or bodies
- that are associated with Fall over the years?
- 25 **A.** Yeah, from 1999 to 2007.

- Q. Okay. So over that whole time, \$2.1 million went through all these entities and accounts?
- 3 A. Correct.

account?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. Now, I might have missed it, but if it goes from one account to the next account to the next account, was that taken -- did you take that into
  - A. Yeah. As I stated, it's just identifying the deposits. It's not identifying whether it's a transfer, which is a nontaxable item, or an income item. It's just capturing the actual deposit items.
    - Q. Here's what I'm asking. Maybe this will be a little bit more clear. If there was a deposit in the Washington Allocation account --
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. -- okay, that would have been put on here; right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if there was a deposit in JV Services, that would be in here; right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And if it went from Washington Allocation to JV Services, was that discounted?
- 23 A. No. It was captured.
- Q. Okay. So it could be that this account, this dollar figure, certain dollars could be counted twice?

- **A**. Oh, definitely.
- 2 **Q**. Definitely.
  - A. Yeah.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- Q. All right. Just so we know or just so you can explain to the jury, cash is a fungible item?
- A. Right. In this particular chart, it's just,
   again, it's just showing where all the money went and
   it just -- the flow.

And, again, yes, you are going to get some transfers because, like the attorney was saying, if it goes from Washington to JVC (sic), I would capture them both, yes.

- **Q**. So it's not -- so the actual dollar amount that is involved in this case is less than that amount there?
- A. Yes, it could be less. Yes.
- Q. Well, we know it's less because there are some; right?
  - A. Right. There are some transfers.
- Q. Okay. So this is not -- it's not -- this is not taxable income here; right?
  - A. Nope.
- Q. It's not real dollars that Mr. Fall had in his pocket; right? Not totally; right?
- A. Not the total at one point. You mean the 2.1 million?

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I'm going to move that this exhibit be withdrawn or be removed from evidence.

THE COURT: Motion's denied. The motion's denied.

- **Q**. Okay. And this is a total of the deposits. Did you also do a tally sheet of all the withdrawals from these various accounts?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And going back to the item at the top, "associated with Fall," that's at the top of this --
- A. That's correct.
- Q. -- document; right? You created these summaries;
  right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 **Q**. Did you come up with the title "associated with 17 Fall"?
- A. I'm sure we discussed amongst the team, but I'm sure I probably came up with the idea.
  - Q. Okay. And "associated with Fall" means that -that means any of these entities, Revenue Management
    Services, JV Services, Comfort Dental, Broad Street,
    Broad Street Investments, Allied Mortgage; right?
  - **A**. Those are all of them.
- 25 | Q. These are all of the "associated with Fall"?

- A. Those are all the entities he created, yes.
- 2 Q. Well, that's not what you wrote here. You said
- 3 "associated with Fall," and there's a difference
- 4 between associated with Fall and entities that he
- 5 created; right?
- 6 **A**. Yes.

- 7 Q. Okay. So "associated with Fall" does not mean
- 8 that's everything he created; right?
- 9 A. Well, some of the items might be Mr. Fall, but
- 10 associated through the -- through his entities he
- 11 created.
- 12 Q. Right. At the beginning of your testimony, you
- 13 looked at a number of documents from Revenue Management
- 14 Services.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And those listed John Fall as the general partner;
- 17 right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Fair to say that all of these entities that are
- 20 associated with Fall do not have those same kind of
- 21 documents that say they were created by Mr. Fall? They
- 22 don't list Mr. Fall as the general partner, per se?
- 23 A. The other ones that I looked at said he was
- 24 general partner.
- 25 Q. For Revenue Management Services?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. I'm talking about all of the entities associated
- 3 with Fall. Not all of them are so clear-cut created by
- 4 Mr. Fall; right?
- 5 A. They're not all clear-cut, but there's sheets that
- 6 tie him into those entities.
- 7 Q. Right. At some point either you or somebody else
- 8 over in the Government team here decided that these
- 9 entities were associated with Mr. Fall; correct?
- 10 **A**. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. Either based on papers they saw; right?
- 12 A. Yeah. Through evidence, yeah.
- 13 Q. Or Dr. Sanchez telling you; correct?
- 14 A. Oh, correct. Yup.
- 15 **Q**. Mr. Fall didn't tell you he created all these
- 16 entities; correct?
- 17 A. No, he hasn't said anything yet.
- 18 Q. Right. He didn't talk to you anyway; right?
- 19 A. No, I've never talked to Mr. Fall.
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: All right. Can I take that
- 21 down, please. Now can I have 425-A, please.
- 22 Q. All right. This was the -- well, this is your
- 23 sheet, the tax, penalties and interest owed by
- 24 Mr. Fall?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. Did you go back and do an assessment or did you just take these figures from somebody else's assessment?
  - A. They're taken from the transcript, the official transcript.
  - Q. And I may be saying "assessment" instead of "examination." Whichever it is, you didn't do this, these were the numbers that were in the transcripts?
  - A. That's correct.
  - **Q**. And I think you testified that this only encompasses items of income that would have a 1099 or a W2 or something like that.
  - A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- MR. FITZGERALD: All right. Can we put up the other one, 425-C, please.
- **Q**. Okay. And this was all the income from the documents plus the money or the deposit items from '99 and 2000, the items that were deposited in accounts associated with him.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Is that correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. So just so I can come full circle, if you can --
- (Counsel confer.)

- Q. 426-A. Okay. And on 426-A, page 1, we have 42?Right there.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: Can you bring that up a little bigger so Agent Pleshaw can see it. Thank you. Maybe just the box with the 42.
- 6 A. I see it.

4

5

13

- Q. Okay. That's the number that you attached onto the -- to your tax estimation there?
- 9 A. Right. That's the additional income I attached, 10 yes.
- 11 **Q**. And can you go to page 2, please. And same thing with this, that box there?
  - A. Yes.
- 14 **Q**. And that's the number you attached onto the -15 your 2000?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. So those two dollar amounts there -- and can I have 425-C back up now. Okay. So all you did was take the items that were deposited into the items associated with Mr. Fall and tack them on as income?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You don't know if -- you just tacked them on as income?
- 24 A. I just tacked them on as income.
- Q. And let me ask you this. We might as well just do

- 1 it with the one that's up here.
- 2 **A.** Uh-huh.
- Q. 425-C. Down at the bottom you see the grand total owed and the interest and all that?
- 5 **A**. 46,000?
- 6 Q. Yes. Interest as of when?
- A. The interest would be off the transcript that was put in through evidence at the very beginning of the trial. That's where that figure came from.
- Q. Okay. So if that was done back in 2005 or 2004, whenever it was done, that was the interest that was owed at that time?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. This isn't interest from up until today?
- 15 **A**. No. No.
- 16 Q. And a number of these taxes were ultimately paid?
- A. Based on the transcripts, I didn't see a payment, no.
- 19 **Q**. The IRS seized property, money, that was applied 20 to this tax?
- 21 A. Through the jeopardy assessment?
- Q. I don't know. They applied money to this, didn't they?
- MR. KANE: Objection. Vague as to the time period counsel's talking about.

THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase, Mr. Fitzgerald.

- **Q**. Ultimately property was seized, property, money was seized and applied to this tax deficiency for the '99 and 2000 years?
- A. I don't -- on the transcripts, I don't recall seeing a payment, unless you have something else to show me; but I don't know when that money was seized, but at the time he owed this money based on those transcripts.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to stop here. It will take me a minute.

THE COURT: Sure.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take our lunch break now. Continue not to discuss this case amongst yourselves, don't do any independent research and enjoy your lunch.

(The jury is not present for the following.)

THE COURT: Just two things. Court's Exhibit 13 will be a note that the CSOs received from Mr. Fall when he came into the courthouse this morning.

(Court Exhibit 13 marked for identification.)

THE COURT: Lori, could you just ask Gary to come in quick. Do you mind? I just want to put on the record about Courtroom 4.

Officer Palumbo, were you able to -- can you 1 2 verify to the Court that this morning Courtroom 4 was 3 made available to Mr. Fall and that Courtroom 4 contained a live audio and video feed of the trial 4 5 proceedings in Courtroom Number 3? 6 CSO PALUMBO: It was, your Honor. THE COURT: And Mr. Fall was present in 7 8 Courtroom 4 during that period? 9 CSO PALUMBO: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Unless there's anything else, we'll 11 stand adjourned. 12 (Recess.) 13 (The jury is present for the following.) 14 THE COURT: I tried to put the temperature up a 15 degree or two. I noticed a couple people may have been 16 chilly. 17 Agent, you understand you're still under oath? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald. 20 MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, your Honor. 21 Q. Why don't we go to page 2 first. Agent Pleshaw, 22 before -- Pleshaw? 23 Α. Pleshaw. 24 Q. Agent, before we left at lunch, we were talking 25 about whether or not some of those taxes had been paid

- 1 in prior years?
- 2 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. Okay. And what's on the screen there is Exhibit
- 4 2-A.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 **Q**. Which you recognize; correct?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. This was the exhibit that you listed as one of the ones -- one of the exhibits that you relied on?
- 10 A. Yes. Correct.
- 11  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For Exhibits 425-A and 425-C; right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you recognize that's the first page of the transcript; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Can we have page 5, please.
- All right. If you could just bring up that area just below there. That's great.
- 19 **Q**. Okay. You'll see that \$62,438?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. KANE: Objection, your Honor. It's irrelevant and misleading. It's after the date of the Indictment.
- THE COURT: The objection's overruled. You'll have a chance on redirect to point out anything you

- 1 need to, Mr. Kane.
- Q. My question to you before was whether or not you
- 3 knew if any of those taxes had been paid; right?
- 4 A. Correct.

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And if you look at 2-A, you can see that a substitute payment suit was filed and there was \$62,438
- A. That was on the 1999 transcript tax assessment,yes. That would be a payment based on the suit, yes.

paid towards that 1999 tax assessment; right?

- 10 **Q**. I'm sorry, the 1998 transcript?
- 11 A. If it's '98, okay, '98.
- 12 **Q**. My fault. This is the '98 transcript, and that shows that there was a payment?
- A. Yes, subsequent payment. Must be on a suit. I don't know exactly what that means.
  - **Q**. But this was -- so when I asked you about the taxes on -- I'm sorry, the numbers on 425-A and I asked you if you knew how much had been paid, I think you said no, but that shows you how much was paid?
  - A. Yes. Yes.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: And can I have 2-B, please, 22 page 2.
- Q. This is the transcript for 1999. Do you recognize that?
- 25 A. Is this '99 or '98?

- Q. Right up here at the top.
- **A**. Yup, '99. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FITZGERALD: Do you have it? Okay.

And can I have page 4, and can you make the bottom area larger, please.

Q. And, again, right there, that \$33,703?

MR. KANE: Same objection, your Honor. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Yeah, looks like a payment was finally made in 2011 for 1999.
- Q. So there were payments on those two tax assessments?
  - A. Correct.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: Take that down, please, and bring up 425-C, please.
  - **Q.** Now, I understand those tax payments are not on this exhibit here, 425-C, but this was your assessment if you included these deposits from '99 and -- from '99 and 2000?
  - A. That's correct.
    - **Q**. Okay. And that's if you included them in your assessment and treated them as pure income, this would be the tax; correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- **Q**. And so this is theoretical; correct?
- A. This is based on the evidence that was put forth in the trial.
  - Q. But based on the assumption that it's all income?
  - A. Based on the evidence that's in the trial, yes.
- 6 **Q**. You're not going to agree with me?
- 7 A. That was based on Revenue Management being income to Mr. Fall.
  - Q. You treated all the deposits as income?
- 10 A. For those two years, yes.
- 11 Q. So assuming that's income, pure income, then you tax it as income?
- 13 A. Tax would increase, that's correct.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have 421-A, please.
    - **Q**. Agent Pleshaw, this is one of the demonstrative charts that you talked about earlier?
  - A. Yup.

4

5

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- MR. FITZGERALD: And if you could just bring the top -- make the top half a little larger for me,
- please. I guess it's not really going to do it. We'll deal with this.
  - **Q**. So what this is saying is that there was \$211,000 that came from Comfort Dental and the Broad Street tenants that went into Stratford Management?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry. Can you expand it 2 again.
  - Q. Okay. During the time period of 2003 to 2004?
- 4 A. Correct.

- Q. That's basically all of the rental income for
- 6 Broad Street -- for 1482 Broad Street?
- 7 **A**. For 2003?
- 8 **Q.** Yes, for 2003 and 2004.
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. So all the income -- I'm sorry, all the rents plus whatever Comfort Dental wrote a check for went into
- 12 Stratford Management and totals out to \$211,000?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And then at some point I guess subsequent to that
- 15 \$211,000 going into Stratford, \$37,000 went out of
- 16 Stratford into this Avagon account?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Other than the \$37,000 coming after the
- 19 | \$211,000 --
- 20 A. Yup.
- 21 Q. -- there's no relation between those two dollar
- 22 figures; right?
- A. The 37's the only item I showed from Stratford to
- 24 Avagon.
- Q. Right. We're talking about the money being

- fungible; and once it goes into the account, it's in the account; right?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. So you can't say that the 37,000 is part of that
- 5 211,000?
- A. It was part of that 211,000 that went intoStratford Management, yes.
- Q. But there was more money than 211,000 in Stratford
  Management; right?
- 10 A. Could have been.
- 11 **Q**. There was other money in Stratford Management other than that 211,000?
- 13 A. If you say so.
- 14 Q. Well, you went through the records.
- 15 A. Yeah, I know, but I don't have the charts of 16 Stratford Management in front of me.
- 17 **Q**. Okay. You didn't even draw up this chart, the one we're looking at; right?
- 19 A. No. I checked it over.
- Q. So you know that there's other money in Stratford besides just that \$211,000?
- 22 A. Correct. Yeah.
- Q. So the chart says it goes from Comfort Dental to Stratford and then Stratford to Avagon?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. But the money that's in between there in those arrows, not necessarily the same money?
  - A. Oh, you're trying to say over and above the 211 that is deposited into Stratford could have went to Avagon.
- Q. I'm not sure what I'm trying to say. I'm tryingto ask you a question.
  - A. There is more money; but in this particular case it's Comfort Dental, it's 211 went into Stratford. Out of that 211, 37,000 went over.
  - Q. And what I said before, the only relation is the 37,000 came out after the 211 went in?
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
    - **Q**. And then down below you have these different amounts going from Comfort Dental and the other tenants at the Broad Street property going into these different accounts; correct?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. And you show the transfer from Stratford to Olympic; correct?
- 21 **A.** You're talking the 195,000?
  - **Q**. Right.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

- A. Correct.
- Q. Right. And the reason that that 195 is on the right is because it happened later in time to the ones

- 1 on the left of the screen; correct?
- 2 Α. Correct.
- 3 Q. And then the same thing with the 225 at the bottom 4 that goes to Avagon?
- 5 Α. Correct.

17

- 6 Q. Okay. Not necessarily the same money?
- 7 The money from those accounts, yes, that went on Α. 8 to Avagon.
- 9 Q. I'm saying the money on the left-hand side 10 of the chart and the money on the right-hand side of the chart, not necessarily the same money?
- 12 Right. If you take that bottom one, 207 went in, 13 225 went out. So obviously there's more money in the 14 account.
- 15 MR. FITZGERALD: Obviously, yes. There we go. 16 Thank you. Can I have 421-B.
  - Okay. So Stratford Management had 2002 -- in 2002 Q. had \$437,000 deposited --
- 19 Α. That's correct.
- 20 -- in the account? Those were the total deposits Q. 21 into that Stratford Management account?
- 22 Α. For 2002, yes.
- For 2002? 23 Q.
- 24 Α. Yup.
- 25 Q. Not necessarily Mr. Fall's -- I'm sorry, not

- necessarily tracing back to the entities that we've had identified as associated with Fall?
- A. In that particular year, there was only I think
  three or four items I was able to identify associated
  with Mr. Fall because the other stuff wasn't legible.
- Q. Well, there was other deposits that came from other sources?
- 8 A. That went into Stratford, correct.
- 9 **Q**. Other people that had nothing to do with Mr. Fall; 10 right?
- 11 A. Quite possible.
- 12 Q. And the \$155,000 that went to Office Services --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- that doesn't have any relationship to the
- 15 437,000; correct?
- 16 A. Yes, it was 155,000 of the 437 that went in.
- 17 Q. Well, the 437 is just the deposits for 2002?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 **Q**. There was money before that in the account; 20 correct?
- A. Well, that's the money for 2002 that was deposited in Stratford, correct.
- Q. But at some time in 2002, 155,000 went out to Office Services?
- 25 A. To Office Services, correct.

- Q. Other than the fact that that happened later in 2 2002 or after 2002, there's no relation between those two numbers?
  - A. Well, you had to get the money from somewhere, so it would be from Stratford.
    - MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have 421-C, please.
  - **Q**. Okay. Across the top, this is transfers of money in 2004 and 2005. So, again, Comfort Dental and the Broad Street renters?
  - A. Correct.
- 11 **Q**. Almost \$90,000 goes into Olympic Business Systems 12 from those entities?
- 13 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

23

- Q. And at some point later, \$103,000 leaves Olympic

  Business Systems and goes to Washington Allocation?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 **Q**. Again, not necessarily the same money?
- A. Olympic, there's a lot of entities in there; but
  19 103 went over to Washington Allocation, correct.
  - MR. FITZGERALD: And then can I have 421-E.
- Q. So, again, \$142,000 from Comfort Dental to
- 22 JV Services?

Correct.

Α.

- Q. And then a whole bunch of money out of JV Services
- to these three accounts, plus the actual cost of the

advertising companies? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 And you know that the dollar amounts don't add up? 4 The ones on the left and the right, you know they don't 5 add up? Α. Correct. 6 MR. FITZGERALD: And could I have 421-F. 7 8 Q. Okay. And this is a transfer of money for 9 37 Sagamore Street; right? 10 Α. Correct. 11 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't need that anymore. 12 Thank you. Let me ask you some questions about -- can 13 I have the ELMO. This might be a little bit easier 14 this way. 15 All the summaries of the accounts that you Q. 16 produced have this kind of cover sheet? 17 I don't --Α. You don't have it? 18 Q. 19 Α. I don't have it. 20 Sorry. We're getting there. MR. FITZGERALD: 21 THE CLERK: Is this admitted? 22 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I'm sorry. These are all 23 admitted. 24 Q. Is it up? 25 Α. Stratford Management?

- 1 **Q**. Right.
- 2 **A**. Yup.
- 3 Q. You had a name for these cover sheets. What did
- 4 you call them?
- 5 A. They're fact sheets.
- 6 **Q.** Fact?
- 7 A. Fact sheets.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Not fax?
- 9 A. Fact. They're fact.
- 10 Q. Fact sheets. These are the fact sheets for --
- 11 well, this one is for Stratford Management, but you did
- 12 one for each of these accounts?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And on each of these accounts you have the
- 15 total deposits there, \$765,988.38 for this particular
- 16 Stratford Management account?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And that's the total deposits for that time
- 19 period; right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. It's not the total deposits for entities or beings
- 22 or persons or companies that you've identified as
- associated with Fall; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . That's all the money that went into that account

- 1 during that time period?
- 2 A. That's all. Yes. Correct.
- 3 Q. No matter where it came from?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. I'm showing you what's 407-A. This is your fact
- 6 sheet for Olympic Business Systems?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And this particular Bank of America account?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 **Q**. Six million seven hundred sixty-four thousand-plus
- 11 dollars?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Not associated with Fall?
- 14 A. Some are.
- 15 Q. Some is. Do you know how much?
- 16 A. In this particular one, I believe there's a sheet
- 17 that follows. I'm not really sure.
- 18 Q. But it's a lot less than \$6.7 million?
- 19 A. Probably.
- 20 Q. Less than a million dollars?
- 21 A. If you say so.
- 22 **Q.** Well, do you remember?
- 23 A. I would have to see my sheet.
- 24 Q. 408-A. This is one of the Avagon accounts?
- 25 **A**. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. Your fact sheet?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 **Q**. \$4.1 million?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Not associated with Fall?
- 6 A. Some is probably associated.
- 7 **Q**. Much less than \$4.1 million?
- 8 A. Probably.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Well, actually I think we can agree on this
   because you had that -- total amount of deposits was
   only two million something; right?
- 12 A. Oh, in the -- the first sheet we were talking about before lunch, yes.
  - **Q**. The first sheet we were talking about before lunch. I want to bring everybody up to speed on what we're talking about, not just the agent and I.
    - 426-B, that was the total deposits for all of the associated entities for Fall, and that total was 2.1 million?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And that included transfers between entities?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. So the actual dollar amount involved in this whole case is less than that?
- 25 A. Could be less, yes.

- 1 Q. Well, it is less than that?
- 2 A. If there are transfers, yes.
- 3 Q. So when we look at 408-A, the Avagon account, a
- 4 total of \$4.1 million, you know that it's a lot less
- 5 than \$4.1 million?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. 411-A, Accurate Bookkeeping, an account at Iowa
- 8 State Bank?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q**. \$2.5 million?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 **Q**. Not all associated with Fall?
- 13 A. That's probably correct.
- 14 Q. Much less associated with Fall?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: I think we've seen enough of
- 17 those. Could I have 405-C up, please. I'm sorry.
- 18 Could we go back to the computer.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. 405-C, this is the identified deposit items
- 20 for this Compass Bank account, Stratford Management?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 **Q**. And there's a number of payors listed?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. In particular, Comfort Dental; right?
- 25 A. Is there one in here on this page?

- 1 Q. Do you see my mark there? Let's bring it up.
- 2 A. Future Management? Oh, yes, right above it. Yes.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: So we have that -- can you
- 4 bring it up a little bit, just the bottom half of the
- 5 page there.
- 6 Q. Okay. So we have March 11th, 2003, Comfort
- 7 Dental, Professional Corp., \$4,500?
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. So that was a check from Comfort Dental; right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Made out to Nathan Hale?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. That was deposited in the Stratford account?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And the \$4,500 was for rent. Do you know that?
- 16 A. I believe it is.
- 17 **Q**. That was the rent that Comfort Dental paid every
- 18 month?
- 19 **A.** Right.
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: Could I have the next page.
- 21 Q. And if you see down towards -- pardon me. Right
- 22 at the top, right there, another Comfort Dental,
- 23 \$4,500, Nathan Hale?
- 24 A. Yeah, I see that, 4,500.
- 25 **Q**. 4,500?

1 **A.** Yes.

2

3

4

5

- Q. There are a number of those all the way through this particular -- this document, through the six pages of this document. Okay. I'll tell you if you add those up it comes up to \$58,500.
- **A**. 0kay.
- Q. Okay? Now, if you look at 405-E. Can you bring up the bottom half of the page. The items listed here, that's where the money was going to?
- 10 A. Going back into Broad Street, correct.
- 11 **Q**. So there was 58,000 that went in from Comfort
  12 Dental, just Comfort Dental, okay, and that was rent?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And then Stratford Management then turned around and paid out a whole bunch of money to Broad Street Investments?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. And I'll add it up for you. If you add up
  19 all of them, it's like 139,500.
- 20 **A**. Okay.
- Q. And what we didn't do on the first one, we didn't add up all the other renters.
- 23 **A.** Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. Do you know, though, if you take up all the rents, all the money going into Stratford from Comfort

Dental and the rentals, okay, is it about even between 1 2 what went into Stratford and what went back out to 3 Broad Street Investments? 4 Α. I have no idea. 5 Q. You don't. Okay. But you know that Broad Street 6 Investments is Carmen Sanchez's company? 7 Α. That's the rental company, yes. 8 Q. It's a company that she owns? Α. 9 Correct. 10 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry. Can I have -- can 11 you take that down, please. 12 Pardon me, folks. Just one second, please, your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 (Pause.) 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Can you bring up 224-B. 17 Q. Agent Pleshaw, 224-B is, I believe, a collection 18 of checks that were deposited into Stratford 19 Management, I believe. 20 Α. Can you. . . 21 I'm sorry. These are a number of items that were 22 deposited into Liberty Bookkeeping, which was at the American Bank in Texas. Do you remember that one? 23 24 Α. Yes. I do.

Okay. And if we go to page 26, and can you bring

25

Q.

- up the top two checks. All right. The top check
  there, LBS Group --
  - A. The Broad Street one?
- 4 Q. No, no, the top one, LBS Group.
- 5 A. Oh, LBS Group. You mean the deposit ticket?
  6 Yeah.
- 7 Q. Do you see that one?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.

15

16

17

18

19

- 9 Q. That has nothing to do with this case; right?
- 10 A. That's Liberty Bookkeeping Systems.
- Q. Okay. But there's nothing about that particular check, I don't even know if it's a check, frankly, a deposit ticket, does that have anything to -- let me take a step back.
  - Can we go to page 27 first. Okay. And now can you bring up the top two. Okay. So this particular -- this MoneyGram here, it says pay to the order of Echo Acres?
  - **A**. All for \$50, yes.
  - Q. That's got nothing to do with this case; right?
- 21 A. I don't recall that, Echo Acres, no.
- Q. That's just another deposit from somebody else that's in this Liberty Bookkeeping Systems account?
- 24 A. It could be.
- 25 **Q**. And you don't have any recollection that it has

- 1 anything to do with this case?
- 2 A. No. Echo Acres, no, I don't.
- 3 Q. Could we go back to page 26 now and just the top
- 4 half of the page. Now, in this case, the bottom check,
- 5 Broad Street Investments paid to Washington Allocation;
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And that was deposited into Liberty Bookkeeping
- 9 Systems' account?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 **Q**. And that was signed by Carmen Sanchez?
- 12 A. Correct.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Can I have the ELMO
- 14 again.
- 15 Q. And 412-A I'm showing you, that's your fact sheet
- 16 from Liberty Bookkeeping Systems?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. \$961,000, not all associated with this
- 19 case?
- 20 A. \$961,000, correct.
- 21 Q. But that number does include those checks from
- 22 Broad Street Investments signed by Carmen Sanchez;
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 **Q**. It does include those?

1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have 410-A, please. 3 Q. Okay. This Avagon account showed a total deposit of \$233,000, almost \$234,000; correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. MR. FITZGERALD: And can I have 410-E. Go to 6 7 page 3, please. 8 And the cash -- so we have the total deposits of 9 almost \$234,000, and then \$144,000, \$145,000 of checks 10 going out? Cashed checks, correct. 11 Α. 12 Q. Cashed checks. And do you remember Mr. Kane 13 pointing out that those two numbers didn't match? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And then he asked you about cash being used in 16 this case? 17 Correct. Α. 18 Q. And there was evidence that people had used cash? 19 Α. Yes, there was. 20 Are you telling the jury that the difference Q. 21 between 234 and 144 thousand was cash that went into 22 somebody's pocket somewhere along the way here? 23 Α. No, no. This chart just -- this represents the 24 checks that were cashed and the cash that came out that

I could identify out of this account for that

- 1 particular time period.
- 2 Q. Those two numbers, the difference between those
- 3 two numbers doesn't represent a cash amount that came
- 4 out?
- 5 A. The difference between the 233 and the 144?
- 6 **Q**. Yeah.
- 7 A. No, that does not represent cash. No.
- 8 Q. And certainly not cash that went to Mr. Fall?
- 9 A. The cash that went to Mr. Fall might be from this
- 10 cash.
- 11 **Q**. It might be?
- 12 A. It might be.
- 13 Q. We don't even know if there is cash. We don't
- 14 know what happened to the rest of the account?
- 15 A. Oh, no. I'm just talking about what's in front of
- 16 me.
- 17 Q. Right. But we don't know what happened to the
- 18 other money that was in that account?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. We don't know where it went?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have the ELMO again.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . I'm just going to bring you back to that 426-B,
- 24 and the dollar amount there?
- 25 **A**. 2.1 million.

- Q. 2.1 million. We've seen a lot of fact sheets with a whole bunch of money on them. We see this one with
- 3 \$2.1 million?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know how much money went to Mr. Fall in all this?
- 7 A. Based on the 2.1 million?
- Q. Based on everything you've looked at, do you know how much money went into his pocket?
- 10 A. About 2.1 million was identified associated with 11 Fall.
- 12 **Q**. Moving through accounts?
- 13 A. Excuse me?
- 14 Q. Moving through accounts?
- 15 A. Moving through accounts, correct.
- 16 Q. Some of that money went back to Broad Street
- 17 Investments, which was Carmen Sanchez; right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 **Q**. But you don't know where it ended up?
- 20 A. I don't know the exact amount Mr. Fall received,
- 21 no.
- Q. Do you know the exact amount of any money that anybody received out of all of this?
- 24 A. Based on this, Mr. Fall is associated with
- 25 2.1 million.

Q. Okay. Some of that 2.1 million is the same 1 2 dollars; right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Q. So it's not really 2.1 million; right? 5 Α. If you say so. I'm asking you. 6 Q. 7 Some of it's transfers. I don't know the exact Α. 8 fiaure. It could be 1.9 million. 9 Q. It could be 200,000? 10 Α. It could be. 11 MR. FITZGERALD: I have no further questions. 12 THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Fitzgerald. 13 Mr. Kane. 14 MR. KANE: Thank you, your Honor. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. KANE: 17 Let me just follow up with that last series of 18 questions. Counsel asked you whether you could 19 determine with definiteness exactly how much Mr. Fall 20 made or exactly where the money went. I gather the 21 answer's no. 22 Α. Correct. 23 Q. Can you tell the jury why in this case that was 24 SO.

As you saw, there are so many bank accounts and so

25

Α.

many entities that Mr. Fall created, it makes it very difficult to trace where the money goes; and as you can see in the charts, that the money moves from account to account to account.

In order to trace back stuff to Mr. Fall, it makes it very difficult; and that's, hence, why they have these warehouse accounts to help people put money through like that; and it makes it very difficult for the IRS to trace the money.

- **Q**. And you mentioned warehouse banks in that response, and let me go to that issue. Counsel asked you some questions about a number of those fact sheets.
- A. Correct.
- **Q**. Do you remember that?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. And he highlighted the total deposits in thoseaccounts being in the millions of dollars?
  - A. Correct.
  - **Q**. And he asked you, and I think pretty clearly established, that in many of those accounts, that's not all of Mr. Fall's money; is that correct?
  - A. Oh, that's correct.
  - Q. And I think we discussed this on direct examination. Were there other people's monies in these accounts?

- 1 Α. Yes, there were.
- 2 And is that the very nature of what a warehouse Q. 3 bank is?
- Α. 4 Yes.

9

- MR. KANE: Can I have Exhibit 2-A, please.
- 6 Q. This is the IRS transcript for Mr. Fall for the 7 1998 tax year. Do you remember some questions with 8 respect to this document?
  - Α. Correct.
- 10 Let's go to page 5. Now, as I understand it, you used this document in part to summarize the tax due and 12 owing for Mr. Fall for 1998; is that right?
- 13 Α. That's right.
- 14 Q. And if we look in the few pages before this, those 15 assessments will be set forth?
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- 17 Q. And those assessments were rendered after an 18 examination by the IRS?
- 19 Α. That's correct.
- 20 Now, on this particular page, counsel directed you Q. 21 to the \$62,438 figure there as a payment to the IRS.
- 22 Do you see that?
- Yes, I do. 23 Α.
- 24 Q. And can you tell me what the date is of that.
- 25 Α. It's January 13th, 2011.

- Q. And do you recall the testimony in this case from Shauna Henline that this was a forced collection action and not a voluntary payment by the Defendant?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

- Q. And if we can go back to the third page of this exhibit, I believe the assessments for this year are set forth here: is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- 9 **Q**. It looks like 3,000 and change as well as 23,000 and change; is that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the dates those assessments were rendered is what date?
- 14 A. Assessment date is November 28th, 2005.
- Q. Okay. Just focusing on 1998 and keeping in mind that forced payment suit in 2011, did Mr. Fall have a tax due and owing as of this point in 2005?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Did he have a tax due and owing in 2006?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Did he have a tax due and owing in 2007?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Did he have a tax due and owing in 2008?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Did he have a tax due and owing in 2009?

Yes. 1 Α. 2 Q. Did he have a tax due and owing in 2010? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And do you know whether the charge in the 5 Indictment ends in 2010? I believe it ends in 2010. 6 Α. Q. 7 But you don't really know? 8 Α. I don't really know, though. 9 Q. Counsel asked you about some of the summaries that 10 characterize some of the items as associated with 11 Mr. Fall, and you were given instruction from counsel 12 to use a somewhat neutral term to identify some of 13 those deposits. 14 Α. Correct. 15 And was there evidence linking Mr. Fall to those 16 entities? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Was the evidence stronger than association?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. FITZGERALD:

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KANE: Let's have 421-E. Let's actually go to 405-C.

Objection.

**Q.** Counsel asked you a number of questions about this account. Was this account a little different from some of the other accounts? Do you recall whether or not

- the items in this account were all or most associated with Mr. Fall?
- A. At Stratford Management they were associated with 4 Mr. Fall.
  - Q. And there were a number of different payees that I think you listed, maybe about five payees associated with this account?
- 8 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 9 **Q**. Why don't we go to 405-D. And this is the summary of those payees?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. And of all the payees in this account, was there evidence admitted at trial associating Mr. Fall with those entities?
- 15 **A.** Yes.

6

7

- Q. Counsel also asked you, I believe, about the
  withdrawals in this account, the total withdrawals
  compared to the total deposits indicating that there
  may have been some mismatch or more withdrawals than
  deposits. Do you recall those questions?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Let's go to 405-C again. This is the chart for identified deposits; is that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Is this chart capturing all the deposits in the

1 account? 2 No. it doesn't. Α. 3 Q. In fact, if we look at this chart, it says it has a few items for 2001 and a few items for 2002; is that 4 5 right? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 Q. And those are the only items that you can read or 8 that you can find in the admitted evidence; is that 9 right? 10 Α. That's correct. 11 Q. But there were more deposits? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And we see that in 405-B? Q. 14 Α. In the summary, yes. 15 Q. Let's take a look at 405-B. I think those 16 itemized deposits were 19 plus 5 or 6, so maybe \$30,000 17 between 2001 and 2002? 18 Α. Correct. 19 And if we just pull up this part of the chart. Q. 20 You did have the statements, the bank statements, for 21 all the accounts? 22 Α. Yes. 23 So you were able to determine the total deposits for each account in a given period even though you 24

weren't able to identify exactly what those deposits

were? 1

- 2 Α. Correct.
- 3 Q. And the amounts for 2002, for example, are far 4 more by way of deposits than you are able to identify?
- 5 Α. That's correct.
- 6 **Q** . And there was no indication -- was there any other 7 indication in this account as to any other payees other 8 than the ones you identified in that summary?
- 9 Α. Only those five.
- 10 405-E, sticking with Stratford Management, this is Q. 11 a chart of the identified withdrawals; is that correct?
- 12 That's correct. Α.
- And counsel focused on payments back to Broad 13 Q. 14 Street. Do you recall that?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And there are some payments back to Broad Street; Q. 17
- 18 Α. That's correct.

is that right?

- 19 Were there also withdrawals to Olympic Business Q. 20 Systems?
- 21 Α. I believe so.
- 22 Well, let's go to the fourth page and be sure. Ιs 23 there a withdrawal to Olympic Business Systems in the 24 State of Washington?
- 25 Α. Yeah, \$195,000.

Q. 1 And are there withdrawals to another entity, 2 Consignment Partner? 3 Α. Yes, there was. And was there one for \$27,000? Q. 4 5 Α. Yes, there was. 6 Q. And another withdrawal to Avagon in Maryland; is that right? 7 8 Α. 37.000. Correct. 9 Q. And other entities payable to Professional 10 Equipment, entities appearing on the Melissa Sugar 11 intake sheet that was seized as part of the search 12 warrant? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Listing Mr. Fall as the client? 15 Α. Correct. 16 MR. KANE: Nothing further. 17 THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Fitzgerald? 18 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't have any further 19 questions. Thank you, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Agent, you can step down. 21 you, sir. 22 Mr. Kane, could you collect the exhibits for Ms. McGuire. Does the Government have any further 23 witnesses or exhibits? 24

The Government rests.

25

MR. KANE:

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a brief break now. When the Government rests in a case, there are certain matters that the Court has to attend to. So we'll take our mid-afternoon break right now.

Remember, you've only heard the Government's case to date. We'll find out shortly whether the Defendant is going to put on any evidence. As I've told you all along, he has absolutely no obligation whatsoever to put any on. So we'll hear from Mr. Fitzgerald shortly on that.

But continue not to discuss this case amongst yourselves. We're almost at the end, as you can tell. Don't do any independent research even if you could in that room without your phones or internet, and we'll see you back shortly.

(The jury is not present for the following.)

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, do you have a

motion?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, please, your Honor.

I'm going to move for a judgment of acquittal under

Rule 29, essentially that the Government hasn't made

its case --

THE COURT: I apologize, but you probably should get by the mike. Thanks.

MR. FITZGERALD: I apologize.

THE COURT: Hold on one second. The back door's open. It's now closed.

Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, I'm going to move under Rule 29 for a judgment of acquittal, essentially arguing that the evidence put forth so far is insufficient to sustain a conviction.

Your Honor, I'm not going to go through a litany of issues; but I want to bring up one particular issue, and that's the issue of identity.

Your Honor, Mr. Fall has not been in the courtroom, obviously, so there was no identification from the witness chair -- I'm sorry, from the witness stand as we would normally see of, That's the Defendant over there in the white shirt.

What we had was a number of individuals identify Mr. Fall as, or identify a picture from the Registry of Motor Vehicles that that's Mr. Fall's picture. We have the -- more pointed, I guess, we had Deputy McDonald, Deputy U.S. Marshal McDonald identify the picture as a picture of the person that he understands is the Defendant in this case. I don't think that's sufficient.

THE COURT: That he observed as the Defendant in

this case.

MR. FITZGERALD: That he observed as the Defendant in this case. I don't think that's sufficient. Your Honor, we don't know the details based on how he has observed this person as the Defendant or his basis for making that connection as the Defendant.

As the Court knows, when Mr. Fall's been in here, he has multiple times declared that he's not the Defendant in this case. That's one thing.

So I don't know what the basis for Deputy

McDonald's identification is; and it could very well be
a matter of hearsay in that somebody else told him,

Yeah, that's the Defendant. So that's one side of it.

There's another issue here that I think is a little bit -- something else the Court should consider; and that is that the deputy, the deputy U.S. marshal who works in this courthouse who is part of the court infrastructure, is the one on the stand making the identification.

It's not as close as, say, having your courtroom clerk make the identification; but it's still, I think, somebody who's part of the court staff, close enough to the court staff making that identification; and I think there's problems there.

I'm concerned about the identification essentially coming from the court as that's the Defendant. And when the deputy marshal makes that identification, I think that's where it's coming from.

He's not here as the investigating officer and he's part of the investigation. He's here as part of the court staff making that identification. So I think it's a consideration that the Court needs to think about on the ID; but overall, I don't think the identification has been proper or sufficient, I should say, to identify that Mr. Fall is the one that committed these crimes. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fitzgerald.

Mr. Kane, do you want to be heard?

MR. KANE: Mr. Bender's up.

THE COURT: Mr. Bender. Mr. Kane wants you to be heard.

MR. BENDER: Thank you, your Honor. As I think defense counsel conceded, that there was a picture that multiple witnesses identified as John Fall; and we have evidence, sworn testimony by the deputy marshal that the person in the picture is the person he observed as the Defendant.

Now, the reason we don't have more testimony, more evidence is because the Defendant -- defense

counsel, excuse me, objected to even that amount of testimony regarding the Defendant's identity. He had ample opportunity to cross-examine the deputy marshal, and he chose not to.

So to the extent he wants to raise issues and speculate about the basis for the deputy marshal's observations and testimony, he could have done that; but there's no evidence in the record regarding any question about his knowledge and his background in knowing who the Defendant is.

There's no evidence he had any contact with the jury, there's any problem with somebody who's associated with the courts, yet I believe he testified he's an employee of the Department of Justice, not the court system. So there's no problem with somebody who's affiliated with the courts making such an identification.

There's plenty of evidence the picture is the Defendant and the picture is John Fall, and I think we have more than enough to prove the Defendant's identity beyond a reasonable doubt. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Bender.

I'm going to deny the Rule 29 motion by the

Defendant. The evidence as presented in this case I

believe by a jury is certainly sufficient to sustain a

conviction of each of the four counts.

As to the issue of identity, the Court believes that, in fact, the Government has proved to its satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is, in fact, John Fall, the Defendant, that this Court has personally observed in this courtroom over the course of a year or two of litigating this case as well.

Anything further? Mr. Kane? Mr. Bender?

MR. KANE: Nothing from the Government.

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, we would call John Fall to testify next.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a break because Karen and Vickie and Gary haven't had a break yet. So we'll probably take probably about a 15-minute break now. I am not going to bring Mr. Fall in beforehand.

MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.

THE COURT: I have concluded that Mr. Fall has been sufficiently informed of what his obligations are in this courtroom. He certainly has a constitutional right, which this Court will afford him, to testify before a jury that's going to determine his fate; and the Court will enforce the rules of order and the rules

that this Court has and will continue to follow on 1 2 issues of relevancy and decorum and obeyance with the 3 Court orders. 4 So with that, in about 15, 20 minutes we'll 5 bring the jury back in. Mr. Fitzgerald, you can call 6 Mr. Fall. And does the Defendant at this time 7 anticipate putting on any evidence other than that? 8 MR. FITZGERALD: No. No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: So assuming that at some point this 10 afternoon we get through Mr. Fall's testimony, I will 11 meet with counsel in chambers to begin going over jury 12 instructions; and we'll begin closing arguments 13 tomorrow followed by jury instructions tomorrow 14 morning. 15 We'll stand adjourned for about 15 minutes. 16 (Recess.) 17 (The jury is not present for the following.) 18 (The Defendant is present for the following.) 19 THE COURT: Are we prepared to proceed? 20 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Gary, would you bring the jury in, 22 please. 23 (The jury is present for the following.) 24 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 25 gentlemen. Can you assure me that none of you

discussed this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else? Great. Thank you.

Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I call John Fall to the stand, please.

## JOHN FALL, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: I, the man, John Joseph Fall, appear solely in common law to provide my truth of my beliefs by affirmation; and I am not the Defendant in this matter. I'm here to challenge the jurisdiction of this matter and to move my two claims.

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. FITZGERALD:

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Fall. Where do you live?

THE COURT: Mr. Fall, could you pull the mike down close to your mouth. Get yourself comfortable in the chair, and then just keep it as close to your mouth as you can.

THE WITNESS: Would you mind if I stood? I have a real bad blood clotting problem in my leg.

THE COURT: I don't mind as long as you pull the

mike up. Actually, it's tradition in state court, I've often told juries this, judges don't allow witnesses to sit at all, some of the older judges in state court. I don't know. It goes way back.

For a while we've traditionally in this court allowed witnesses to sit, but you're most welcome to stand. Just make sure you -- why don't you try just standing comfortably, and we'll proceed from there. Thanks.

- **Q**. Mr. Fall, where do you live, just the city and state, please?
- A. Sir, I just want to get on the record to answer your question that I have not been presented with a sworn statement of accusation that I've done wrong, and it's going to be difficult for me to answer questions relevantly and accurately until I see a sworn statement of accusation that I've done wrong.

I've been in the other courtroom every day listening to all of this, and I've yet to hear somebody make a sworn statement of accusation that I've done wrong. So could you please provide that to me so I have a relevant way of answering your questions.

**Q**. Let me ask you this. Do you understand that an Indictment was returned in this case charging you with several crimes?

A. No. I have not seen a sworn statement of accusation that I've done wrong. I've asked for it many times in writing to the clerk, numerous times to the prosecutors.

MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor.

A. All of my letters have been ignored.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Completely ignored. Not even a nice letter like we'll get back to you. I brought all the letters with me.
- **Q**. Okay. Mr. Fall, you heard all the testimony in the past week or so?
- A. I've heard a lot of hearsay, but I have not heard a sworn statement of accusation that I did wrong. And I believe I did no wrong. I believe I've caused no harm, I've caused no injury, and I've caused no financial loss. I never believed that I did.
- **Q**. There was -- one of the persons that testified was a Dr. Carmen Sanchez. Do you recognize that name?
- A. Yes, I do.

- **Q.** Who is Dr. Sanchez?
- A. Well, it depends. There's a lot of Carmen Sanchezes.
  - Q. The one that came --
- 25 A. If you're talking about my wife, Carmen Delora

- Sanchez, she's got long brunette hair, she's kind of pretty, she's got a nice voice, she works as a dentist in Providence, that would be my wife.
  - **Q**. I'm talking about the one that testified last week.
  - A. I couldn't see clearly on the screen. Her voice sounded like my wife. I'm watching by audio in another courtroom against my will.
  - Q. Okay. But you said that your wife is named Carmen Sanchez?
- 11 A. Well, her correct name that I was told when I
  12 married her is Carmen Delora Sanchez.
  - Q. Okay. And when did you get married to her?
- A. I never failed on anniversary dates, so I'm going to get it now. June 21st, 1997.
  - **Q**. And you have two children with her?
- A. I look at it like we have three because I took her stepdaughter on as my own daughter.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And then two sons as well?
- 20 A. Two by natural, yes.
- Q. Since 1997 -- at some point after 1997, there was a divorce proceeding between you and your wife;
- 23 correct?

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

16

A. I never consented to that due to my religious
beliefs. As far as I'm concerned, I'm still married to

1 her.

- **Q**. Okay. But at this point in time, she doesn't consider herself married to you?
  - A. That's her free will.
  - **Q**. Am I saying it correctly?
- A. I would say that's -- I can't tell you what herwill is, but that's the apparent situation, yes.
  - Q. You don't live with her?
  - A. No, I don't.
    - **Q**. Okay. There was some testimony during the past week or so about different entities. Do you recall hearing that?
    - A. Well, like I just said to you, I'm going to be happy to answer all these questions. I have 20 affidavits that I filed into this court that I say right now are the truth, and they're my evidence for the jury, and I hope to get a chance to present all 20 affidavits. Those affidavits are my sworn testimony. They deal directly with all those issues.

And like I said earlier, I think it's improper for me to answer a question until I have a sworn statement of an accusation that I did something wrong. I have not seen that. I've not been presented with that.

What did I do wrong? I've just said on the

record I have not caused any harm; I've not caused any injury; I've not caused any financial loss that's stated as true, due and owing.

And I've listened to this testimony, and all I've seen is misdirection and all peripheral issues, mostly by people who weren't there and almost entirely hearsay.

Is there a man or woman who's claiming I caused a harm, injury or financial loss that's stated as true, due and owing? If not, I don't know why we're here.

- Q. Do you recognize --
- A. Sir, I don't mean to be rude, but you're not answering my question.
- Q. No.

- A. Is there -- are you aware of a sworn statement that I've caused a harm, injury or a financial loss stated as true, due and owing?
- Q. Okay. I'm not answering your questions because I'm not the one testifying.
  - A. I understand that, but -- I want to give you an answer, but I need to relate that answer to a sworn accusation against me.
  - Q. Do you recognize an entity or a company called Comfort Dental?
- A. What is your question related to?

- 1 Q. Do you recognize Comfort Dental? What is Comfort 2 Dental?
- A. I would imagine it's an artificial company. It'sa corporation.
  - Q. Do you know what the company does?
- 6 A. Dentistry.

- 7 **Q**. I'm sorry?
- A. Well, the company doesn't do anything. The
  company's a piece of paper filed with the state. It
  doesn't do anything. The people who work under that
  name do things.
- 12 Q. Do you know who works there?
- A. Presently I don't, no. I haven't been involved in five years.
- Q. I think you said it was involving dentistry;right?
- 17 A. That's my guess.
- 18 **Q**. And that's your wife's dental practice?
- A. I don't know anymore. I've been living in
  basements in financial destitute since they kicked me
  out of the house five years ago. I've had nothing to
  do with it.
  - Q. Do you know where Comfort Dental is?
- A. At the time when we were living together in our home, it was at 1482 Broad Street.

- Q. Okay. And you know that property, 1482 Broad
  Street, correct, the building?
  - A. I know it pretty well.
  - **Q**. You've been there?

- A. I did know it pretty well. I mean, I don't know what they've done to change it.
- **Q.** You've been there, though?
  - A. Yes. Oh, yeah. Sure.
- Q. And you know that there's a separate corporation
  that handles the -- that owns that property from
  Comfort Dental? There's a company called Broad Street
  Investments that owns that property?
  - A. That wasn't my understanding when I was involved. My understanding was Broad Street Investments owned the real estate; and Comfort Dental was the name, for lack of a better way of saying it, that my wife did business in. So they're really totally separate as far as I remember.
  - **Q**. Who owns Broad Street Investments?
  - A. If I remember right, the way that was set up by her attorney was -- Rhode Island allows sole proprietorships. What do they call that?
  - **Q**. Do you know who the sole proprietor is?
  - A. I'm using the wrong word; but Rhode Island, if I remember what her attorney told us, is one of those

states that allows a corporation to be owned by one person.

- Q. Okay. You don't own Broad Street Investments?
- A. My wife has a lot of good qualities. One of themis not sharing. She'd never let me on anything.
  - **Q**. But -- so you don't own Broad Street Investments?
- **A**. No. No.

- Q. You don't own that building, 1482 Broad Street?
- A. When you say "you" to me, I want to be clear I'm answering you, are you referring to me, the man, or the person?
- 12 Q. Both, you and the person.
  - A. Well, the person, then no. The answer is no. Can
    I explain to the jury what I mean by that?
    - **Q**. Sure.
      - A. Yeah. The man -- I'm a man. Okay. God created me. I'm a man. If I wear a Maytag uniform and I go out and fix washers and dryers, then I'm a person and I'm a subject to the rules of that person wearing that Maytag uniform.

I'm here today as a man. The person has duties and obligations to that uniform. I was not involved in any of these companies as either a man or a person.

**Q**. Okay. Do you know of -- I'm sorry. Where does -- are you familiar with 180 Wheeler Street in Providence

- 1 or Cranston? Are you aware of that address?
- 2 **A.** Okay. That's --
- 3 Q. That property?
- 4 A. I'm going to answer that question, but it's a
- 5 little tricky. The property's on two town lines.
- 6 **Q**. Right.
- 7 A. So one town argues -- and we used to get taxed by
- 8 both of them. It's still an ongoing issue. It's kind
- 9 of funny. So it's 178 Wheeler Ave. to the Cranston
- people; and to Rhode Island, it's 180 Wheeler Ave.,
- 11 Providence. So it depends. It's both.
- 12 **Q**. What's at that location?
- 13 A. A home. A single-family home.
- 14 Q. And you know that home; right?
- 15 A. Do I know the home?
- 16 **Q**. Yes.
- 17 A. I did know the home. I don't know the home
- 18 anymore.
- 19 Q. You used to live there; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You lived there with Carmen for a while?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you don't own that home; right? You, the man.
- 24 A. I've not owned anything in this marriage.
- 25 Q. Okay. That's Carmen's home?

- A. Ostensibly. She's on the title, but the truth is the bank owns everything. I think all of us are victims of that.
- **Q**. Let me ask you about some other names. Are you familiar with an entity known as NERH? Does that mean anything to you, those initials?
- A. So now you're going into the questions that I want to answer with my affidavits.
- Q. Okay.

A. But before I go down that road, I don't want to be tricked into substantiating the presumption that the prosecutors have done an excellent job at doing; and I, therefore, require that you first show me the sworn statement of an accusation that I did wrong or that I caused a harm to someone or that I caused an injury to someone or that I caused a specific financial loss stated as true, due and owing.

Until I see that, I would be lying because I'm going to be giving you an answer that doesn't relate to a sworn statement anywhere.

THE COURT: Mr. Fall, could you just stay near the mike.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir.

THE COURT: 1 Thanks. Α. You do realize I'm the only one with a sworn claim 2 3 in this matter. There is no one else with a sworn claim in this matter. In fact, I have two sworn 4 5 Both of them are for claims of trespass fraud, claims. once against the Plaintiff --6 7 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 THE WITNESS: Do you want to come up here and 10 testify that I'm not telling the truth? 11 THE COURT: Put the next question to the --12 THE WITNESS: Sir, why don't you come up and 13 give counter-testimony. 14 Q. Mr. Fall --15 THE WITNESS: I'm telling the truth. I'm under 16 If I say something that's wrong, I'm going to be oath. 17 accused of perjury. So why don't you come up and 18 counter me. 19 Mr. Fall, if I can redirect you. Q. 20 Sir, I want to call your attention to *Trinsey v.* 21 Pagliaro says these guys can't make statements of fact 22 that influence the jury. I'm sure you're well aware of 23 that. 24 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I've 25 instructed you on a number of occasions that the Court

will instruct you what the law is, not any documents or piece of evidence that you get in. At the end of this case, the Court will instruct you on the law to be applied.

Mr. Fitzgerald.

- **Q**. Are you familiar with an entity known as JV Services or Washington Allocation?
- A. It's all covered in my affidavits.
- Q. I'm sorry. Are you familiar with those names?
- A. It's covered in my affidavits. I'm not going to allow you to trick me into the presumption that there's a sworn accusation somewhere when there isn't one.

Let's first deal with that. Where is the sworn accusation that I've done wrong? Sir --

- **Q**. Let me ask you this, Mr. Fall. The Government says that you caused Carmen and Comfort Dental to file false tax returns.
- A. That's ridiculous. I have an associate's degree in electronics. She always had the top-notch, best tax advice people. I've never, ever said to anybody this specific number is tax deductible. I wouldn't have a clue what's tax deductible for a corporation.

I've taken no tax courses. I have an understanding of statutes at large, only from these people persecuting me for the last 15 years. I spent a

lot of hours at the library trying to understand it, but I never really got my mind around it.

I heard some of the letters that were read.

Those letters were -- admittedly, I was very angry.

They were sitting outside of our house at night,

walking into our dental practice in a threatening way

unannounced. It was terrorism.

- Q. In particular, one of the things the Government has alleged is that you directed or you suggested that Carmen pay fake companies for fake advertising and then that those payments were then put into the tax returns that were then filed. Okay. So in doing that, they're alleging that you caused false tax returns to be filed. Did you do any of that?
- A. That's absurd. First of all, what motive would I have for doing something like that? Where is the motive? Where is the gain? What motive -- just make something up. What motive would I have for doing the things that they were talking about?

I'm, again, living in an eight-by-nine basement with no heat. I don't own a car. I have three pairs of clothes.

MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor.

A. This is ridiculous. And I've been living that way for four years because I didn't consent to the divorce.

1 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm telling the truth, sir. Whv 3 don't you come up and counter me under oath and 4 affirmation. 5 Q. Mr. Fall --6 THE WITNESS: I'm inviting you. If you're going 7 to tell the truth, I'll step aside. You can come up 8 and tell your truth under oath. Q. 9 Mr. Fall --10 THE WITNESS: Or are you lying? 11 Q. You had said before something about Carmen hiring 12 accountants to do her taxes. Do you remember saying 13 that just a few seconds ago, something to that effect? 14 I think you had them here, if I saw correctly on Α. 15 the screen. I mean big national companies. 16 aren't little rinky-dink guys. 17 Q. And that was Mr. Seidman, Mr. Harrigan and 18 Mr. Rosen? 19 I think Cain Watters has -- on their website I Α. 20 believe they said they had 1,700 dental practices. Ι 21 have zero. 22 Did you cause false information or wrong 23 information to go to them that they then, in turn, put 24 into the tax returns? 25 Α. Here's what I did. They would call somebody in

the dental practice; and because of my background in real estate, I'm familiar with reading contracts. That doesn't mean I'm good at it, but I've got probably more exposure than the average person.

So I would go grab all the contracts, pull out the numbers that were in the contracts and put them in a sheet and give them to them.

I made no comments on whether they were tax deductible or not. There's lots of expenses that corporations spend money on that aren't tax deductible, like supporting the local youth baseball league. How would I know what's tax deductible for a corporation? I never owned one.

- **Q**. You didn't direct the accountants as to how to file the tax returns or what information to put in the tax returns?
- A. I just answered you.

- Q. Do you remember the IRS auditing --
- A. And even if that was the truth, what would be the motive?
  - **Q**. Do you remember the IRS auditing Carmen and Comfort Dental?
  - A. I believe that started -- you know, our marriage was great until that happened. I mean, we had our rough roads like everybody else, but we were getting

1 along pretty good. 2 So yeah, of course I remember. Our marriage 3 took a turn after that. It started in May 2008, and it 4 went for a long time, I think until November. I mean, 5 it was ludicrous. They were auditing her for five or six months. 6 7 Q. Did you tell Carmen not to cooperate with the IRS? 8 Α. I didn't say that. 9 Q. Did you tell her not to appear when the IRS asked 10 her to come to meetings? Did you tell her not to go? 11 Α. What we did was we sent a letter, and in the No. 12 letter -- I have the letter. THE COURT: Mr. Fall, I'm going to ask one more 13 14 time. 15 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 16 THE COURT: Maybe if you -- no, that's okay. 17 Maybe if you just move the whole mike up. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. Sorry about 19 that. I apologize. 20 Α. So let's back up here. 21 You said you had sent a letter. Q. 22 Α. Like a lot of people, I got bad advice. 23 calling people getting advice, but accidently the 24 advice actually worked good.

After the audit had gone on for five months, I

talked to a former special agent of the IRS; and he said, John, if the audit doesn't produce big problems, they can't take you to a summons.

And he showed me the code section, and then he showed me a Federal District Court case in New York that said the IRS has no power to summons, only a court has power to summons. They can invite you to a summons, but they can't enforce it.

So I just put that all into a nice little letter, and I sent it to Ken Cournoyer. And I said, Okay, we just did this exhaustive audit that cost us a fortune and it didn't turn up anything, at least you haven't shown us that it did, and so you're done. You don't have a basis for doing a summons according to your codes, if I'm reading them right.

And I admit I don't understand legal terminology, so I was reading them in common English way of speaking. I was reading them like they were in English.

Maybe they meant something entirely different than I read; but my intent was to show Cournoyer, hey, you already cost us whatever it was, I think it was 40 or 60 grand at the time, nothing bad came out of it, and now you want to do that to us again?

So I sent him a letter, and I said -- both of us

signed it, and the letter was really not a commentary.

It was just citing the Internal Revenue Code that said
they can't do that.

As a matter of fact, if I remember right, they had four conditions that the IRS had to meet; and they didn't meet any of them, as I recall.

But the more important issue was the Federal District Court case said there can be no consequence for not attending an IRS summons. And so to me it seemed logical.

I mean, these people, they make money the more time they put into something. Meanwhile we're losing money. We're paying 40 grand, 50 grand, 20 grand. All the way through Family Court was another \$380,000. They're all making money, and we're all getting bled to death.

So that's my answer to your question, is yeah, I sent them a letter. I even did the courtesy of calling him; and I said, Why are you doing this? What's going on here? And he just -- he started asking me what I didn't realize at the time were criminal investigation questions.

Of course, I had no idea that was going on. As you well know, they're supposed to invite you to an administrative hearing before they take you into a

criminal court. They're supposed to say, Hey, this is what we think's happening. Do you want to discuss this and we'll try to settle this privately?

I never got that opportunity. It was just from zero to let do this to him. Let's drag him through court for a year and a half.

MR. BENDER: Your Honor, can we have an instruction on the law, please.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, as I've consistently told you, certain evidence comes in for limited purposes, as it did during the prosecution's case where the Government would offer certain evidence that mentioned the law; and the Court instructed you at that time that regardless of what that says about the law, the Court instructs you on what the law is.

And the Court wasn't admitting the evidence to tell you what the law is. It admitted the evidence to either show or not show potentially Mr. Fall's state of mind.

Mr. Fall's comments now about the law, similarly, are coming in not on the issue of the law; but the relevance of that testimony is or is not, depending on what you determine as the triers of the fact, as to his state of mind.

Mr. Fitzgerald.

THE WITNESS: Sir, could I correct myself because I think you just made a good point.

- **Q**. I think I can do that, Mr. Fall. The times that you've mentioned different laws or different cases, what you told the jury, that's your understanding?
- A. It's my belief.

- Q. And your belief of --
- A. That's all it was. My beliefs have been wrong many times in my life. That was my belief at the time; and to be honest with you, to this day I still believe we handled that correctly.

And, you know, when we did go into Federal Court, I filed an affidavit in there and said, Hey, I've been trying real hard to fix things with these people. All these years I've been writing letters, offering to satisfy a claim, offering to file, because I got a letter way back in 2000 that said -- because I lost \$100,000 to her friend.

- Q. I was going to ask you about that in a minute.
- A. Okay. But this is relevant to the summons letter.
- **Q.** I promise. We're going to get back to it. One of the things you had said, that letter that you sent, you said that both you and Carmen signed that letter; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. There was some testimony last week about, or this week, I guess, about Carmen signing documents.
Do you remember hearing any of that?

Let me ask you a different question. There were a number of contracts or documents that she signed.

She said that she signed them because you told her to.

Did you force your wife to sign things?

- **A.** What's your definition of "force"? What's your definition of "force"? And then I'll give you a truthful answer.
- **Q**. Let me ask you this. Did you give her something to sign and say, You should sign this, or did you give her something and say, Sign it?
- A. It was really neither of those. Usually the situation was -- boy, this is hard to explain. You'd have to know our relationship.
- Q. Okay.

A. The way things usually went between us was, I would say, Carmen, I think the IRS is coming at us for the wrong kind of tax, for alcohol, tobacco and firearms, which is covered in great detail in my affidavits that I hope you folks will take the time to look at.

So even if I was wrong, my beliefs were sincere. I believe that they were doing that, and I believe they

were doing it on purpose because I notified them of it and they never answered me. I never got an answer saying, No, you're wrong.

So here's the answer to your question with that as the backdrop. I'd say, Carmen, I believe they're coming at us for alcohol, tobacco and firearms.

Something must be screwed up in the computer. I don't know. Here's how I think we can respond to this.

I'd sit her down. I'd explain it to her. You know, she's not a dummy. She went to college for 12 years. And then she'd say, Okay. Well, that makes sense.

So she was an agreeable type of person in that regard because she was nervous. Not that she respected my opinion so much. She was just looking for an answer.

Q. Okay.

- A. "Force" would be an awfully strong word.
- **Q**. Okay. You're talking about that particular letter. Early on, before the IRS was ever involved in any of this stuff, there were a number of agreements between Comfort Dental and different entities that she signed off on.
- A. Right.
- 25 Q. Did you make her sign or tell her to sign or

direct her to sign any of those documents?

- A. What do you mean by "direct"?
- Q. Carmen, you need to sign this.
- A. The only way I can answer that question is to give a complete answer.
  - Q. That's fine.

- A. If I give a partial answer, that's misleading. So my complete answer is, first of all, let me start by this. As far as I saw, everybody who testified over the last week, not one of those people was present. They're all based on conjecture. Okay. I was present. So we got that down solid? I want to make sure everybody's clear on that. I was there. None of these people were there. So --
- Q. I'm clear. Just so you know, when you're testifying, you're talking to the jury. So I'm clear on it, yes.
- A. So I don't -- I mean, yeah, it was 15 years ago. Some things I don't remember exactly, but most of it I'm pretty clear on. So that's the first thing.

The second thing is, I'm here. I think I can be punished for perjury if I lie, right, on the witness stand? I'm going to tell you every single one of those entities provided a fair market value service. Every single one of them.

Carmen, as you saw, testified she was a disconnect. She just trusted everybody and said, Okay, you do that, you do that. She was disconnected.

So the very first one that came along was

Managed Skills, if I remember right. We're going back

15 years. I have a hard time remembering last week.

So where were we at then? Okay. Where we were at is we had no credit. I had no business credit because I've never owned a business. Carmen wasn't even from this country. She had no business credit.

And so we applied for loans. That was something I was fairly knowledge about because I've been in real estate for so many years. She got turned down by everybody. Even the SBA turned her down.

So I met this guy at a seminar, business seminar, who was a business broker. And he said, Well, I can get you private vendors. They're going to cost you a little bit more, but they'll prefund you. In other words, they'll let you not make payments for a few months until the money's coming in. That's exactly what you need when you're starting up a business.

So what Managed Skills did, if I can remember correctly, they provided intellectual property on a bonus and incentive system.

So we had several employees at the time. I had

no experience managing employees, and definitely my wife didn't. So that was one thing.

Then there was customer service training, which was excellent. I mean, you see the growth of Comfort Dental. It's astonishing. That growth came from this business program. This guy was sharp. And he's the one who put these things into play as we needed them; but once they were in play, I was really not involved.

So that was the first one. Then the next one I think was -- you know, I think Venture Lending Group.

- **Q**. We were asking about Carmen's signature. So there was an agreement between Managed Skills and Comfort Dental?
- A. I have all of them. I have all the contracts, receipts. I'm sorry.
- Q. There was an agreement between Comfort Dental and Managed Skills at some point?
  - A. Yeah. There's a contract signed.
- **Q**. And Carmen signed that?
- A. Carmen signed it.
- 21 Q. Did you force her to sign it?
  - A. No.

- **Q**. And --
- A. They were rescindable, by the way. I don't think there were any contracts that couldn't be rescinded.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll bring the contracts in. MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. There wasn't a question for that. THE WITNESS: Oh, you don't like that one because that's the truth; right? Why don't you come back up here. THE COURT: Mr. Fall, answer the questions that your attorney poses to you. The Court will deal with comments by opposing counsel. Mr. Fitzgerald, put a question to Mr. Fall. Q. Mr. Fall, there was a -- Carmen wrote the checks or signed the checks for Comfort Dental and for Broad Street Investments; right? Α. First of all, I want to apologize for barking at They've put my family through -- I apologize. ahead. I'm sorry. Q. Carmen would sign the checks for Comfort Dental

Q. Carmen would sign the checks for Comfort Dental and for Broad Street Investments; right?

A. Correct. Only she had authority to, with the one exception of when she encouraged me to build a new construction house using the Broad Street Investments. And we built it, unfortunately, in 2006 when real estate went down, and we lost our money on that. But other than that, only she signed documents. Only she had the authority to sign documents.

- Q. Okay. Was there ever -- if you can remember, ever a time that you forced her or told her or directed her to sign a check that she didn't want to sign but you
  - A. No, but that doesn't really mean anything because -- I'm trying to be truthful here, because Carmen was just Carmen. She just -- she just wanted to do dentistry. Anything else she just did to get it over with. So I don't know if that means anything, but that's part of the formula.
- 11 **Q**. Okay. Mr. Caccavaro testified yesterday, Lou 12 Caccavaro. You know Lou?
- 13 A. Lou Caccavaro?

told her to?

14 **Q**. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Yeah. He made a lot of mistakes in his testimony.Really inaccurate.
- Q. I'm not asking for an analysis of his testimony.You know who he is, though?
- 19 A. I do know who he is. He's a nice man.
- Q. At some point the IRS tried to seize money that he was holding in escrow. Do you remember that testimony?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And he filed a -- what he called an interpleader, but he filed a suit to have another Court decide the disposition of that money. Okay?

A. Yes.

- **Q.** First of all, were you named as a Defendant in that suit, do you know?
- A. No, I was not. However, I was on some kind of cc list where they cc'd me. I think he was trying to play it safe and was just letting everybody on the planet know.
- **Q**. Did you do anything to interfere with the Court's determination of where that money was going?
  - A. My interpretation of what I did was to help the Court.
  - Q. Okay. What did you do to help the Court?
- **A.** I filed an affidavit, which to this day has not been rebutted. Okay. I want to make that point. By the way, none of my affidavits have been rebutted.
  - Q. But that particular one, what --
  - A. Yes, I filed an affidavit in the Court because I read the thing. David Steiner I believe was the U.S. Attorney. He's one of the 41 people that I subpoenaed to be a witness in my favor under the Sixth Amendment.

MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: No, that's the truth. Do you want to come up and say that it's not the truth?

THE COURT: Mr. Fall. The objection is

sustained. Put another question to Mr. Fall,
Mr. Fitzgerald.

- **Q**. What did you do to help the Court decide what was going to happen with that money that Mr. Caccavaro was holding in escrow?
- A. I didn't help the Court decide anything. I just put the truth in by my affidavit.
- **Q**. And what did you say in the affidavit to help them?
- A. Basically I said I was not an officer. I was a Power of Attorney for another company that was the officer of that company, which went nowhere. They approached me because of my real estate background and wanted them to help lend money and, you know, develop a clientele here. It all was a thought. It never went anywhere.

But I was not an officer of the company. I never funded the company. That's it. I never funded it, and I was not an officer. And the other part of the affidavit was -- of course, the most important thing is they were trying to take the funds on the false accusation that it was my money.

And what they had done, if I remember correctly, this is a major error, was -- when we got married, I was losing my house. This is connected to your

question, so be patient.

Q. I understand.

A. I was losing my house because I had a failed septic system. Well, in Marshfield, the keyword being "marsh," it's a very low water table. So my septic system failed, and it was \$50,000. All of a sudden, you know, septic systems go like that. I had no place to go to the bathroom. I had asbestos siding on the house. I had lead paint. The house was a mess.

And so having been an auctioneer in my past, I had a lot of contacts who were real estate investors and loan hard money and so forth. So these guys said, Well, here. Here's the deal. And, again, this is 15, 16 years ago. But it was something like, We'll take over the house, we'll let you stay there, and you manage the tenants.

And what I did to survive was I rented out rooms, and the money went to this company called NE Realty.

So what must have happened is, the IRS agent got confused and thought that NE Realty Holdings was the same company as NERH, LLC. As far as I know, they're two different entities.

- Q. Okay.
- A. By the way, I didn't set -- there's no -- I didn't

set those up. I'm not an officer.

- Q. That was going to be my question.
- A. That's all a bunch of junk.
- Q. You didn't create either of those?
- A. No. That's easy to figure out. You just go to the public registry, pull the documents. I'm not on any of them except for Revenue Management Services, but that was a real estate company as well and they require -- in real estate, you have what's called office managers. Okay. That's a common term. It's a limited administration. You know, you're like a secretary.

So the way it works in real estate is, you have a money partner who puts up the money, and then there's a poor soul who doesn't have money, that's me, who goes out and does all the work, and then they collect half the profits.

So that was the situation there. I was not in control. I was there to open an office and go out and do real estate, which is what I did.

- Q. Let me backtrack for a second. You just said you didn't create NERH or NE Realty Holdings. Managed Skills, did you create Managed Skills?
- A. No.
- **Q**. Okay.

- A. I didn't form any of those companies. If you just check the registries or wherever you go to check that stuff, you won't see my name on any of those things with the exception of that company. I was appointed an office manager. Again, in real estate that's a common
- Q. Mr. Fall, let me ask you about the tax -- your tax filings for 1998 and 1999 and 2000.
- 9 **A.** Yes.

term.

- 10 **Q**. The Government's alleged that you evaded taxes by not filing in those years.
- 12 A. Yeah. They've very conveniently left out a lot of details to that story.
- 14 **Q**. Well, let's just start, did you file in those years?
- 16 A. Did I file in those years?
- 17 **Q**. Yes.
- 18 A. What do you mean? I filed for '98 and '99.
- 19 **Q**. Did you file for those years?
- 20 A. Well, yeah.
- Q. When did you file for those years?
- 22 A. I filed twice.
- 23 **Q**. Okay.
- 24 A. First I -- this is another one of those things.
- 25 If I give you the answer you're asking for, it's going

to be misleading without having all the pieces of the puzzle. So if you want my answer, I require to give all the pieces of the puzzle that I can remember.

Q. Yes, please. Give us all the pieces.

A. Here we go. Twenty-two-some-odd years I worked, filed tax returns, paid taxes just like everybody else. No problem. I've got five kids. I need the roads just like everybody else does. I have nothing against taxes.

Then I meet my beautiful wife, and she and her family were investing money with this guy in the Dominican Republic. And they said, Well, we're a loan. We're making two percent interest a month. I said, Wow, that's a pretty good interest rate.

So I took my life savings, based on her testimony that this guy was a good guy, and I invested it with him. His name was William Henao. He was later arrested by the FBI, a guy named Robert down in New York, FBI agent; and he absconded everybody's money, including my wife's.

So I lost 100,000, my wife lost 105,000, her father lost 300,000, her aunt lost a couple hundred thousand. This guy just took everyone's money.

So then I went to -- you know, before that I hadn't used accountants very often because my situation

was pretty simple. Sometimes I did, but a lot of times I didn't.

So I went to this guy. His name was Alan Bailey. He's what's called an MST, Master of Science in Taxation. I thought that would solve all the problems. And he said to me, I have no idea how to handle this. You've loaned money to a citizen of another country, and you've lost it. He said, You're going to have to call the IRS and then come back to me and tell me what they said. He just never dealt with that.

- **Q**. When was -- do you remember when that conversation was?
- A. Ninety-eight. That's when I lost the money, so it probably would have been that year.
- Q. Okay. So what did you do next?
- A. Okay. So I called the IRS. Well, that was the beginning of the nightmare. Apparently I got on some kind of radar screen. I got a letter. I think it was called -- I have all the letters, I've saved them all, letter 112-C, if I remember right, I was looking at it the other night; and it said to me make sure you pay the correct kind of tax three times in the letter.

Well, probably like everybody in this room, I didn't know there were different kinds of taxes. I

thought everybody paid one kind of tax.

So now I'm scared to death. I've lost \$100,000. This guy who was a Master of Science in Taxation tells me, If you don't handle this right, not only did you lose your \$100,000, you're going to lose the deduction and you're going to owe taxes on the money for '97. So it's like a double hit.

Q. Okay.

A. I'm not going to get the deduction on the 100,000, and I'm going to owe income taxes on all the earnings that I had. It was a legitimate loss.

So I get that letter. I'm completely, totally naïve to tax issues at that time. Now I know more than I did then by force of circumstances. And so the next thing was I go on the internet, look for help. I talked to, you know, different firms. They all were outrageously expensive.

Now, keep in mind in this year I had just lost my job. I was working for Country Marketplace. I lost that job. Carmen moved out of the house, no warning. She just took my newborn son and left.

So I'm sitting in this house, no income. I could not afford to hire these people. So now I'm starting to look for somebody I can afford; and I came on the internet, I searched and I found the

Save-A-Patriot organization. And they don't give tax advice, but they give educational material.

So I contacted them; and they said, Well, it's real simple. You just give us a Power of Attorney, and we'll write letters for you. They only charged like, I think it was, \$15 or \$20 for a letter. That was in my budget. Barely, but it was.

So looking back in retrospect, at the time I didn't know this, Save-A-Patriot Fellowship was a pro-Constitution group that was on some kind of a targeted list for being pro-Constitution. So I got on the list for hiring them.

So the man who worked there wrote a letter to the top guy, his name was Tom Quinn, area director if I understood right, that's how he signed the letter anyway, and said, Hey, you sent this letter for different kinds of tax to --

MR. BENDER: Objection. Hearsay.

THE WITNESS: What's hearsay?

THE COURT: Overruled. You can continue, Mr. Fall.

THE WITNESS: Okay, because I want to tell the truth here, and I was there. I don't think you were.

Q. Go ahead, Mr. Fall.

A. Yeah. So -- I lost my train of thought.

Q. You were talking about --

- A. Because I get distracted when these people talk.
- Q. You were talking about the man sent a letter to --

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, we're off timing-wise a little bit, but I want to make sure that Karen and Vickie and folks get at least a short break. Why don't we take a 10-minute afternoon break, and we'll come back shortly.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: You can proceed, Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, if I can have 16-A brought up on the screen there.

- **Q**. Mr. Fall, when we took a break, you had talked a little bit about a letter that you had received telling you to make sure that you paid the right type of tax. Do you remember that testimony?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. Okay. I'm going to direct you down to the -- this area of the letter.
- A. I should have brought my glasses; but I've looked at this letter so often, I can see okay. I can guess what you're doing.
- **Q**. Okay. And right there it says, "Please let us know if you want your payment applied to a different type of tax."

A. Correct.

- Q. You read this letter; right?
- A. Many times. Many times.
- **Q**. And when you first got it and you read it, what did that mean to you?
- A. It meant to me that all my beliefs about how taxes worked were wrong. I thought there was just one tax, and now I find out there's all these different types of tax.

You know, it's like the day you find out there's no Santa Claus and no Easter Bunny. You're just at a loss, and it made me nervous. So here I wanted to take that loss -- okay, I have to back up and remind you again the situation I was in at the time. I had no income coming in. My wife had moved out. I'm destitute, broke. The last thing I needed was for a huge tax bill to come in.

And so I called the IRS. Wouldn't help me. I get this letter. It says not only do you have to pay the tax, there's different kinds of tax. Okay. So which one am I supposed to pay? What do you mean? I don't know what you mean. And so I was really nervous at the time.

**Q**. Okay. This was the letter that started you on your search?

1 A. Yes, it is.

- **Q**. To find out and pay the tax?
- A. That's the one, and I've written many letters.
  - **Q**. And you testified right before we broke that you reached out to an individual who was going to charge you a small amount or a nominal amount to write letters for you?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That's where we left off.
  - A. Yes.
    - **Q**. So what happened with that?

MR. FITZGERALD: You can take that down, please.

A. So he wrote a letter to this man, Tom Quinn, who very amazingly became my wife's attorney during the divorce 10 years later. I don't think that was any coincidence how he wiggled into her life. And of course he didn't answer, like all of them.

I've never gotten a responsive letter, not even one, to all 23 letters that I've written. Not even one. Admittedly, a few years into it, some of my letters were angry, you know, because of what they were doing to us; but I always stayed on point, which is which kind of tax are you coming at me for. Never got an answer.

I even provided them a menu. Just check the

box. Is it estate, income, coca leaves, alcohol,

tobacco, firearms, machine guns? I gave them a

check-box style. I made it kindergarten-proof, and
they still wouldn't answer.

- **Q**. And if you remember, what I was asking you before was about filing the 1998 taxes. You said you filed them twice.
- A. Yes.

- Q. Can you explain that to the jury.
- A. Yeah, because in that letter that Kotmair wrote, he showed how -- I think it was this letter. I'm pretty sure it was this letter talked about a 1040 form, which is what I had always filed.

But now I had this question mark over my head that is this the right form because they're talking about a different kind of tax. Come to find out, there's over 400 types of tax forms. And I lost count now, but there's about 12 totally different types of taxes on income as well.

- **Q**. Okay.
- A. So I'm lost. I've got a Master of Science in Taxation who doesn't know the answer. The IRS won't answer me over the phone. They won't respond to my letter. Thus the journey, the long, painful journey.
- Q. And you filed -- when did you file the first --

when did you file for 1998?

- A. Okay. That's a good question. I did file it late, but there's a very good explanation for that.
  - **Q**. Okay.

A. When I -- and this is going so far back. When I wrote the letter to Quinn, he didn't answer me; and so I just kept believing, you know, the federal government takes a long time, they're going to get back to me.

They timed the answer. Now, I can't say it was their intent, I would never falsely accuse somebody, but it's very interesting to me that by the time I got any kind of letter from them, it went right over the little threshold where you lose your deduction. So had he answered me on time --

- Q. What deduction are you talking about?
- **A.** The loss, the \$100,000 loss.
- **Q**. This was the loss that you suffered from the investment in the Dominican Republic?
- **A**. Right.
  - Q. The money that was stolen?
  - A. Right. This is a very critical juncture in this whole story, so I want to be articulate here.
    - Q. Yes.
- A. So he doesn't answer me. Now, this is a top area director for the IRS. From what I understand, that's a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

felony for them not to answer your question. MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: I've instructed the jury a number of times on this issue. The objection is sustained. can proceed. Put a question to Mr. Fall, Mr. Fitzgerald. Q. So he didn't answer you. They told you to file. It was just past the time to --Α. Right. Meanwhile I'm getting feedback from -- not directly now, sir. I want to tell the truth here. Μv wife's family had all lost money. And so one of her family members that lost money with this guy, William Henao, lived in New York; and they had contact with the FBI agent who had arrested the man, and they said he was in prison --MR. BENDER: Objection. Hearsay. THE COURT: Overruled. MR. FITZGERALD: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know how that would be hearsay. You don't believe me? Okay. Q. Go ahead. Don't worry about the hearsay. I'm just trying to be careful and tell the truth So the idea was, they're going to let him out of prison because they found out it was his underling that stole the money and they couldn't prove that he knew

about it.

And now that I remember it, I did meet the two guys; and the other guy seemed sleazy, and this guy, the boss, seemed okay. So I said, all right, so I'll just wait until he gets out of jail and then ask him for the letters that I got from him, which I have here, the originals, with his original signature, saying yes, John Fall did put money in this company, he did lose it, here's the certificate of it.

And, by the way, Carmen knows all this because she lost \$105,000. That didn't come up in the testimony, of course.

So yes, I did wait, but I was waiting for two reasons. I was waiting for Tom Quinn to get back to me on my letter; and there was a follow-up letter, but I don't have that. It was 16, 15 years ago.

And I was waiting for this guy to get out of jail so he could give me some kind of documentation of what happened so I could show the IRS that.

- **Q**. 0kay.
- A. With me so far?
- Q. Yup.
- A. Okay. I mean, there's a lot more fun stories to talk about.
- **Q**. Okay.

- A. So yeah. Time went by, and it went just over this so-called three-year rule. Okay. And then I get this letter that says, Hey, sorry, you're out of luck. It's over three years. You can't deduct that.
  - **Q**. Okay. So you filed the -- you filed your taxes claiming that deduction; right?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And then you got a response back from the IRS saying too late?
- **A**. Right.

- **Q**. Okay.
- 12 A. Wait. There's a little more to it that's very important.
- **Q**. What else?
  - A. The money that I sent down there were postal money orders. There was one or two bank checks. You're going to think I'm stupid, but what I did was, I had a certain amount of money that was my life savings, I don't remember what it was, somewhere in the neighborhood of 60,000, and I had a credit card, lines of credit, and I borrowed money off that, which is -- if you're the richest man in Babylon, you don't do that, but I did it.
  - So I borrow money from credit cards. I take the money I've saved. I go buy postal money orders. I

give them to my father-in-law, who was a very good man.

He used to come and visit us all the time. He would

take them down and give them to William Henao.

I had all the receipts. I gave those receipts -- we're skipping a step; but while I'm saying it, I'll finish it. I gave those receipts, the letters to William Henao, from William Henao, to Diane Riley before this so-called assessment. But in between that --

Q. Who's Diane Riley?

- A. She was the assessment officer that came into our lives uninvited.
- Q. She was an IRS agent?
  - A. Yeah, she was an IRS agent.
    - Q. Sorry. Continue, please.
    - A. I'm messing this up because I'm skipping a step, but that's an important step there. So coming back to another step.

So he's in prison. He gets out of prison. I'm waiting to get the letters from him. And I get this letter from a guy named Wesley Gillis, I'll never forget it, from the same office and the same address as Tom Quinn, who didn't answer my other letter.

I interpreted it as a threatening letter because
-- for a bunch of reasons, and I'm going to tell you

why; but bottom line is, it said you must file Form 1040. Okay?

Q. Okay.

A. Now, I have this other IRS letter that says, you know, different kind of tax three times, make sure you file the right one, and the usual threats, penalties, interest. So I'm having a problem here.

By now I'm angry, I'm going to admit it, and I started studying and really studying hard. I was going to the law library in Plymouth. I was reading online. I was trying to figure out, you know, how to deal with these people.

So I heard about doing a Freedom of Information Act. So Freedom of Information Act is where you ask the government to tell you the truth about something; and under the law, they're supposed to, with certain exceptions.

So I did a Freedom of Information Act to the other side of the IRS. I said, Who's this Wesley Gillis guy? I get back a response, which I have, that says there's no such person.

So I'm getting this threatening letter to tell me to file 1040 when Quinn never answered my first letter about which form I'm supposed to file. So it's like they're trying to push me in this direction, and I

caved. I was nervous. I didn't have my proof. I filed it, and the rest is history.

They disallowed the deduction, if I remember the assessment right, which the assessment that I got, if it's even a legal assessment, was not signed. There was no Treasury seal on it. It looked like -- to me it looked like it was printed from a home computer.

- **Q**. Okay. And, I'm sorry, you said you filed it twice?
- A. Yes. The second time was when these guys came at me and, you know, accusing me of all this stuff. I said, Oh, I'll file again. So I did. I filed -- this time I filed a tax statement, and I covered all 12 years. It's a legitimate filing. I swore under penalty of perjury.
- **Q**. That was sometime recently as opposed to -- when was the other tax filing? When did you file that?
- A. Gosh, I don't know. These guys know. They know my shoe size.
- **Q**. 2002, 2003?

- A. Honestly, if I was going to guess, I'd say 2003.

  It wouldn't be far off. Let's put it that way.
  - **Q**. Now, the 1999 tax year, did you file for taxes? Did you file in 1999?
- 25 A. Oh, that's another thing. You just reminded me.

There's so much to this. The returns were filed through a guy named -- what was his name? This is really important. You've all heard of Ron Paul, the presidential candidate? His brother filed my tax return.

**Q**. Okay.

A. He was on a list, too, because he's a pro-Constitution guy, like Ron Paul. So now I'm dealing with the Save-a-Patriot Fellowship. And I'm not accusing anybody of anything, but the appearances here are not good.

His name was Wayne Paul. I remember because he had Parkinson's disease. When he signed my return, it was all jittery. And he said, You know, what they're doing to you is wrong, but you have to file and then fight it later.

- **Q**. He was a CPA?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And you had him file the --
- **A.** File the 1040.
  - **Q.** Both for 1998 and '99?
  - A. Right. And he, as a CPA with a very large firm, from what I understand, I've never been there personally, said, Yeah, you can deduct that.
- So we did. We put it on there. He saw what I

had. I sent him copies of the postal money orders; and he said, This is a slam-dunk, there's no way they can deny this.

So they denied -- you know the rest of the story; right? They denied the 100,000, and that was the beginning of world war 1700.

- **Q**. All right. The 1999 return that you filed, okay, it said zero tax due and owing. Do you remember that?
- A. Ninety-eight?
- 10 Q. Ninety-nine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

- A. I don't remember. You're asking me a question about that. I know that was the year I was really broke.
  - Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this. You said
    Mr. Paul did your taxes for you.
- 16 **A**. Right.
- 17 **Q**. Okay. Did you provide Mr. Paul with all the information for your tax return?
- 19 A. Everything.
- Q. Did you give him any false documents or tell him any lies about your income?
- A. The same thing as I did the 22 years before that.

  I just gave him what I -- I was usually pretty good

  about record keeping.
- I was an electronics guy. I went to school for

electronics for two years. And in electronics I used to work in a hospital, and you'd have to satisfy what's called JCAH records. They're the overseers of hospitals. Very meticulous records. So I was fairly decent at keeping good records. I'm not saying I was perfect, but I was pretty good.

- **Q**. Let me ask you this, completely different from those tax returns. There was Cielito Deayala?
- A. Yup.
- Q. You know Cielito?
- **A**. Yes.

- Q. Okay. She used to be an office manager for Comfort Dental or she used to work at Comfort Dental?
  - A. Yeah. I mean, I considered myself the answer guy because nobody had any business experience. I had book knowledge. I didn't have any experience, but that was a lot more than they had. I was always fascinated with business. I always read books about business.
  - **Q**. I want to ask you about a specific instance that Ms. Deayala had to deal with. She said that there was one occasion where a package came to the office and it was either DHL or Fed-Ex or one of these that came to the office and there was cash sticking out of the envelope. Okay.
- A. I heard her say that, yeah.

- 1 **Q**. You heard her testify to that?
- 2 A. I heard her say that.
- Q. And I think she testified that she brought the
  package to Dr. Sanchez because it was either addressed
  to Comfort Dental or to Dr. Sanchez; but eventually it
  came up -- it was brought upstairs to a second-floor
- 8 A. I heard her say that, yeah.
  - **Q**. Do you remember that envelope?

office that she said was your office.

- 10 A. I do remember envelopes, but they weren't for me, 11 and she never handed them to me.
  - Q. Okay. Do you remember --
- 13 A. I think what was going on was --
- Q. We'll get to that in a second. Do you remember specifically one of these envelopes coming with cash sticking out of it?
- 17 **A**. No.

7

9

12

- Q. Okay. Do you remember a number of packages coming to the office like that, not with the cash sticking out but just sealed?
- A. No, I don't. I remember them coming on occasion but not anything like these guys described.
  - **Q**. Okay. Why don't you describe them.
- A. I wouldn't be wearing a suit with moth holes in it in front of the jury who's going to decide my fate.

- 1 Q. Tell me what you remember of these packages.
- 2 A. What I remember of them was, I don't remember
- 3 them. I remember packages, but I can't say who they
- 4 were from, what they were addressed to. I do remember
- 5 getting DHL packages that had documents in them.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you ever get, either on one occasion or
- 7 a number of occasions, packages filled with cash?
- 8 A. To me?
- 9 **Q**. To you.
- 10 **A**. No.
- 11 Q. Do you remember such packages coming into the
- 12 office not to you but to anyone?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury about those.
- 15 A. Well, I didn't put a lot of attention on it, but
- 16 they were coming to Comfort Dental. I'm not Comfort
- 17 Dental.
- 18 **Q**. Okay.
- 19 A. And they made this -- painted this picture --
- 20 **Q**. Tell me what you remember.
- 21 A. What I remember.
- 22 Q. Because you were there, not them.
- 23 A. Right. Okay.
- 24 Q. So tell me what happened with the packages. Did
- 25 you see a package filled with cash?

- A. I did, yes. Well, filled, that makes it sound like a lot of money. I don't remember there being a lot of money in them. Very trivial, as I recall.
  - Q. Okay. And do you remember --
  - A. So trivial I didn't think anything of it.
- Q. And who did -- where did that package go to? Who did it go to?
- 8 A. My wife.

5

9

16

17

- **Q**. I'm sorry?
- A. My wife. Everything goes to my wife. I got nothing. All I got was the kids, and that's what I wanted.
- Q. Do you remember how many times you remember -strike that. How many times do you remember an
  envelope with cash in it coming to your wife?
  - A. I don't know, four times a year, twice a year. It was insignificant to me. It was meaningless.
  - **Q**. And do you know what that cash was from?
- 19 A. I have a guess, but it would be a guess.
- Q. Okay. You didn't cause that cash to go to your wife?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. George Jacquez or Jorge Jacquez used to work in the building at Broad Street; right?
- 25 **A.** Yeah.

- Q. He'd be -- he was a handyman around the building;
  right?
  - A. Occasionally. More than occasionally, yeah. He was pretty -- he had a lot of things going on all over the place. He's a highly desired person.
    - Q. He used to collect rent from the tenants?
    - A. From the residential tenants and sometimes the commercial. I handled mostly the commercial because of my real estate background. I handled some of the residential. It was kind of on an as-needed basis.
- 11 Jorge had no experience at all in that stuff.
- 12 **Q**. But he just did things around the building?
- 13 **A**. Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 Q. Do you remember him testifying last week?
  - A. Yeah. I sure do. I was --
- 16 **Q**. He testified that he found a box in a closet on the second floor.
- 18 **A**. Yeah.
- 19 **Q**. Do you remember him testifying to that?
- 20 **A**. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you remember him testifying to the contents of that box?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. A number of stamps and a folder and some papers?
- 25 **A**. Uh-huh.

Q. Okay. Do you know how that box got there?

A. Yes, I do.

- **Q**. How did that box get in that closet?
- A. Well, when -- again, this is one of those things.
  - If I can't give the complete answer, it's going to be misleading.
  - Q. Okay. Please do your best.
  - A. Okay. The complete answer is, when Carmen first came to me and said she thought she might have to divorce me, I was -- you know, I was very sad, and then that went to anger.

And so I called the business broker that we interacted with for these business entities, Steve Reynolds; and I said, Look, I need to show my wife that I've not been doing anything wrong, and I need you to send me everything that you have to show that these companies that you've brokered to us were legitimate companies.

I know that they gave us valuable services because I saw the growth of Comfort Dental. I saw the contracts. I'm not the one who needs to be sold. I need some really tangible stuff here.

And so I didn't talk to him. I talked to his office. And so they mailed -- they started mailing me things out, and that was some of the stuff that was in

1 there. 2 By the way, I heard the false accusation of the 3 signatures, and I know that she was put up to that. 4 Q. I'm not going to --5 Α. I want to talk about that. I'm willing to submit 6 to a forensic signature analysis and spend the rest of 7 my life in jail if I'm lying because those are not my 8 signatures. So let's do that. 9 Q. Well, before we get to that --10 Α. I don't mean to debate with you, and I'm being 11 respectful here. That seemed to be something they're 12 hinging their little game on, so I would like to submit 13 to that. 14 Q. Well, let's start with this. Do you have 60-A up 15 there? 16 THE WITNESS: By the way, while you're doing 17 that, I want to remind the Court --18 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Wait for there to be a question, 20 Mr. Fall. 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have the ELMO, please. 22 Q. Mr. Fall, this is a piece of paper that was 23 included in Exhibit 60-A that's in evidence, and I

believe this is the -- this was found in the box or

came from the box that Jorge Jacquez gave to the IRS,

24

and I believe this is the piece of paper you were referring to with the signatures on it; is that correct?

A. Yes. I noticed he also didn't mention the envelope that that came in, which would have shown the return address, who it was from, and the letters from them saying this is what we're also going to be sending you in the future.

I have boxes of stuff they sent me. I'm exaggerating. It's probably a box that size with all the contracts, receipts, miscellaneous notes.

- **Q**. Just come a little closer to the mike.
- A. Because by then we weren't interacting with them.

  Do you understand that?
  - Q. Yes, I do. Let's get to the documents on the screen.
- **A**. Yup.

- Q. Okay. Are those your signatures?
- 19 A. No, they're not.
- **Q**. All right.
  - A. And, like I said, I'll be happy to submit to a forensic signature analysis. I did a little research on the internet. They're not that expensive. They use 20 points to compare your signature. I'm all for it.
- 25 Q. Do you know where that page came from with the

signatures on it? 1 2 It came in the mail from the business Α. 3 broker. Q. 4 This is Mr. Reynolds? 5 Yeah. Well, I can't say Mr. Reynolds put it in Α. the envelope, but it came from his office. 6 7 Q. You made an inquiry to Mr. Reynolds' office, and 8 this came back? Α. 9 Right. 10 And what was Mr. Reynolds -- what was his Q. business? What was the name of his business? 11 12 It would be on the envelope. It must be on 13 something that they took. I can't remember. That 14 was --And you said he was a business broker? 15 16 Α. You realize -- I've got to interrupt you here. 17 You realize what I've been through since this has 18 happened; right? I've been in and out of the hospital, 19 and I've almost died three times. 20 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. 21 Α. I'm on heavy medication. 22 THE COURT: Just answer the question, Mr. Fall. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to give a complete 24 No disrespect intended. answer. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, go ahead.

- Q. All the questions I'm asking you are --
- 2 A. It will come to me.
  - Q. -- to the best of your memory.
- 4 A. I will remember. I just don't remember right now.
- 5 Because I always thought of him as Steve. I
- 6 didn't think of him -- people think of my wife as
- 7 Dr. Sanchez. They don't think of her as --
  - Q. Carmen?
- 9 A. Yeah.

3

- 10 **Q**. So the business broker, Mr. Reynolds, you said he
- 11 was the one that set up these businesses, these
- 12 entities?
- 13 A. I have no idea who set them up. My understanding
- of his role was he was a business broker. He's the guy
- 15 you went to if you couldn't get things conventionally,
- 16 like the marketing, great example. If you didn't have
- money to fund marketing, you'd go to these guys and
- they would fund it for you on the understanding they're
- 19 going to get a higher rate of return, kind of like a
- 20 hard money lender.
- They're out there. I put stuff in my April 4th
- 22 filing that really discusses that and explains that
- 23 better.
- 24 **Q**. Okay.
- 25 A. But, I mean, just look at the growth of Comfort

That didn't happen by accident. From zero to 1 2 two million a year. I mean, that says it all. 3 wouldn't get that by bleeding money into fake 4 companies. You'd go out of business. 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Can I have one moment, please, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Sure. Of course. 7 8 THE WITNESS: Mr. Fitzgerald? 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. 10 THE WITNESS: This man's probably going to shut 11 me down, but -- no, it's time for me to say this. I'm 12 not here to argue. 13 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, wait for a question to be 15 asked, please. 16 THE WITNESS: I mean no disrespect, sir, but --17 THE COURT: None taken, but you have to wait for 18 a question, sir. 19 THE WITNESS: I do not wish to argue this case. 20 I'm not -- they're entitled to their opinion. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, you've got to wait for 22 Mr. Fitzgerald. He asked for a few minutes. 23 Well, then, I don't want you to THE WITNESS: 24 have to throw me out again, but I guess that's what 25 you're going to have to do.

1 MR. FITZGERALD: Let me ask you one more 2 question. 3 THE WITNESS: I'm being deprived of seeing the 4 sworn accusation against me. All these questions are 5 creating the presumption --6 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. THE WITNESS: -- that there's a sworn accusation 7 8 somewhere, and there isn't. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, I'm going to warn you 10 Just wait for Mr. Fitzgerald to put a question 11 to you. 12 THE WITNESS: I'll do one more question, and 13 then I'm going to hold out for you to produce that 14 sworn accusation. 15 John, do you see that document that's on the Q. 16 screen? Not behind you but on the screen. Do you see 17 that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Do you remember testimony earlier today, Q. 20 Agent Pleshaw testified about a bunch of different 21 accounts? 22 Α. Honestly --23 I'm not asking if you understood it, but do you 24 remember hearing it? 25 Α. Pretty good imagination. Yeah, I did. I did

- 1 remember it. It was very confusing to me.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . All right. Well, this is a -- this was a summary
- 3 of just what it says, items -- identified deposit items
- 4 associated with Fall from all bank accounts.
- 5 A. Okay. So --
- 6 Q. Hang on. And do you see the total down there at
- 7 the bottom, \$2.1 million?
- 8 **A**. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you take a whole bunch of cash from
- 10 Comfort Dental and put it in accounts and hide it
- 11 somewhere?
- 12 A. No. And how could -- all right.
- 13 Q. I'm just asking if you did that.
- 14 A. Yeah. Okay. You take cash and you put it in a
- 15 bank; right? Isn't there a deposit slip that goes with
- 16 that? Isn't that traceable?
- 17  $\mathbf{Q}$ . I'm asking if you did it.
- 18 A. No. That's ridiculous. I have deposited cash in
- 19 my own bank account.
- 20 **Q**. Two million dollars?
- 21 A. Yeah, I wish. No, I'm talking about I have a
- 22 Citizens Bank account right now. I'm sure these guys
- are dying to seize that. I don't think I've had a
- balance over \$500 in four years.
- 25 Q. Right. It doesn't have \$2 million in it?

1 Α. I never had a bank account with anything 2 anywhere close to that. Maybe my Dodge Caravan that's 3 the year 1999 explains it all. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, wait for a question to be 5 asked, please. 6 MR. FITZGERALD: I have no further questions, 7 Judge. 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fitzgerald. 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Bender. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BENDER: 13 Q. Good afternoon. 14 Α. Go ahead. 15 Q. Are you John Fall? 16 Which one? There's a whole bunch of them. Α. 17 Q. Are you the John Fall charged in this case? 18 I haven't seen anything that charges me with 19 anything. Weren't you listening? I have not received 20 a sworn statement of accusation against myself as a 21 man, John Joseph Fall. Do you have that? 22 Q. So you are John Joseph Fall? 23 Sir, do you have a sworn accusation against the 24 man, John Joseph Fall, or not? That's a simple 25 question. I'm sure the jury would love to know. Do

you have it?

- Q. Mr. Fall, I'm asking the questions.
- A. Do you have a sworn accusation against the man, John Joseph Fall? Don't try to go around it with your tricks. Either you have it or you don't. Do you have a sworn accusation that says the man, John Joseph Fall, did wrong?

THE COURT: Mr. Fall, Mr. Bender will put a question to you.

Go ahead, Mr. Bender.

THE WITNESS: He's going to get the same response, sir, with all due respect. This is how they work. They want to go around the main issue.

THE COURT: Mr. Fall, wait until the question's put to you, please.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't mean to make your job harder, but these people have been doing this to me for 15 years.

THE COURT: Mr. Bender, put a question to the witness.

- **Q.** Mr. Fall, were you in this courthouse prior to today given the charges against you?
- A. I appreciate if you didn't call me Mr. Fall.
- Q. What would you like me to call you?
- 25 A. You can call me the claimant because I have a

claim against your prosecutor and the --1 2 MR. BENDER: Objection, your Honor. 3 Α. I'm saying it under oath. Do you want to come up 4 here and say that I don't have a claim? Mr. Bender, put a question, please. 5 THE COURT: Have you ever gone by names other than John Fall? 6 Q. 7 Please show me the sworn accusation that I did Α. 8 wrong that your question relates to so that I can give 9 you a relevant, accurate answer. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, you have to answer 11 Mr. Bender's question. 12 THE WITNESS: That's my answer, sir. 13 Q. So just to recap, have you ever gone by names 14 other than John Fall? 15 Please show me the sworn accusation that says I Α. 16 did wrong. Is there any words I'm using that you don't 17 understand? And then I will give you a relevant answer that's accurate under oath. 18 19 THE COURT: Mr. Fall -- hold on for a minute, 20 Mr. Bender. I feel compelled to warn you that if you 21 continue to refuse to answer --22 THE WITNESS: I'm not refusing. 23 THE COURT: Excuse me. If you continue to 24 refuse to answer Mr. Bender's question, you could -- it 25 is quite possible that the Government will move to

strike your entire direct testimony because you're 1 2 required to answer questions under cross-examination. 3 That could seriously and detrimentally hurt your 4 case here. So I'm going to tell you one more time, 5 Mr. Fall, Mr. Bender's going to put a question to you. 6 You need to answer his question. 7 If you don't know the answer to his question, 8 you can say "I don't know the answer to the question"; 9 but you've got to answer the question he poses. 10 Mr. Bender, put a question to Mr. Fall, please. 11 THE WITNESS: Ladies and gentlemen --12 Q. Are you familiar with Revenue Management Services? 13 I'm happy to answer his questions, but I want to 14 know the claim that it ties to. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Fall. 16 Α. Because they're trying to skip that. There's no 17 sworn claim in this case. 18 THE COURT: We'll take a break now. Gary, take 19 the jury out, please. 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir, but I've got to 21 speak the truth. I'm the one who's under oath right 22 now. 23 (The jury is not present for the following.) 24 Mr. Fall, you're required to answer THE COURT:

the questions that the Government asks of you, and

you're required to follow my orders. We've had this discussion a few times before.

When Mr. Bender puts a question to you, you have to answer the question that he asks. If you don't know the answer to the question, you can say "I don't know"; but you need to answer the question he asks you, not to speak directly to the jury in anything other than an answer to the question. Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Sir, I think you know that I'm not the Defendant in this matter. I've yet to face my accuser. I require to face my accuser. That's my right. I believe you took an oath, and you know the Ninth Amendment secures that right. It's a God-given right. I want to face my accuser, have them make accusations to me, and I will respond to the accuser.

THE COURT: Mr. Fall, you're coming very close to seriously hurting the rights that you currently have in this case in defending yourself. Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: I don't see how.

THE COURT: Your failure to abide by this

Court's orders to answer the Government's questions

could have serious and will have serious detrimental

effects.

In fact, it could be subjected to such that your

1 entire direct testimony would be stricken. Do you 2 understand that? 3 THE WITNESS: No, that's not clear to me because 4 I have not received any orders made out to the man, 5 John Joseph Fall. THE COURT: Mr. Fall --6 7 THE WITNESS: Would you please provide that. 8 THE COURT: -- are you prepared to answer the 9 questions that Mr. Bender poses to you? 10 If they relate to a sworn THE WITNESS: 11 accusation that I did wrong, I will absolutely answer. 12 THE COURT: Are you prepared to answer the 13 questions that Mr. Bender poses to you that this Court 14 instructs you to answer? 15 THE WITNESS: That's my answer. The answer that 16 I gave you is my answer. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, are you prepared to obey 18 the orders of this Court? 19 THE WITNESS: I have not received any orders to 20 the man, John Joseph Fall. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Fall, I've instructed you to 22 answer the questions of the Government, Mr. Bender 23 representing the Government. Are you prepared to 24 answer his questions and follow the orders of this Court? 25

THE WITNESS: I stand by my answer, sir.

THE COURT: I'm going to assume, Mr. Fall, that, therefore, your answer is no, that you will not answer the --

THE WITNESS: That's not my answer.

THE COURT: Well, then tell me one more time, are you prepared to answer the questions that the Court has directed you to answer posed by the Government in this case?

THE WITNESS: My answer is, it's not possible for me to answer a question that does not relate to a sworn accusation that I did wrong. That's not possible. I'll be -- I would be telling a lie giving an answer that doesn't relate to a sworn accusation.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask the marshals to remove the Defendant from the courtroom at this time.

THE WITNESS: Can I pack my papers up? Let the record show that I was deprived of my right to redress the grievances and due process of law. Can I take my checkbook? I wanted to offer to pay an unpaid debt. Let the record show that I'm leaving against my will, over my objection.

(Defendant removed from courtroom.)

THE COURT: We're going to have to wait until he gets access. Foolish me. I told them to shut it down.

We're going to have to reboot it. 1 2 Could I see counsel at side bar. 3 (Bench conference held on the record.) 4 THE COURT: My intention was to call it a night, 5 perhaps give Mr. Fall the chance to cool off and see if 6 -- we'd come in again in the morning and see if he would follow the Court orders. 7 8 He has pretty well consistently said he wouldn't 9 follow the Court orders a number of times. I think I 10 gave him a number of chances to do that. I'd like to 11 give him another chance. I think by letting him sleep 12 on it, maybe a little cooling-off period will. 13 We'll come back in the morning, without the jury 14 first, and see if he'll respond. If he doesn't 15 respond, then I'll have to entertain a motion and we'll 16 have to figure out where we go from here. 17 Kevin, any opinion on this one way or the other? 18 MR. FITZGERALD: No. I think giving him another 19 chance is the appropriate thing to do, your Honor. 20 I do, too. Mr. Bender? THE COURT: 21 MR. BENDER: That's fine with the Government. 22 THE COURT: What would you like me to tell the 23 jury? 24 MR. FITZGERALD: "Have a nice evening." I'm not 25 sure, Judge.

1 THE COURT: I'm inclined to just say we're going to break for the evening and offer no further 2 3 explanation. I think attempting to say something would 4 probably do more harm than good. 5 MR. BENDER: That works. 6 THE COURT: Why don't we do that, and then we'll 7 come back in because we did not think through the 8 logistics of what we're going to do from here. 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, your Honor. 10 (End of bench conference.) 11 THE COURT: Lori, could you help again? Could 12 you ask Gary to bring the jury back in, or if you don't 13 feel comfortable, you can ask him to come in and I'll 14 tell him, but I just want the jury in. 15 (Pause.) 16 Should I have them back at 10? THE COURT: 17 you think we have the time in the morning? I hate to 18 have them sit. 19 (The jury is present for the following.) 20 THE COURT: You can remain standing, ladies and 21 gentlemen. We're going to break for the evening. 22 have a sentencing at 10 in another case. I'm sorry, at

9:00 tomorrow morning. And they tend to take close to

So rather than have you sit and wait, I'd ask

23

24

25

an hour.

you to report back at 10:00 tomorrow morning. give you a little break in the morning. So continue to follow my directives. Please, we're almost at the end, please don't do any independent research on this case. Please don't discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else. It's only a little bit longer. So please hold on and continue to do that and don't say anything about your jury service or anything that's occurred in the case on any social media. And with that, ladies and gentlemen, have a good evening, and I will see you at 10 a.m. Thank you. Can I see counsel in chambers. (Adjourned.) 

CERTIFICATION I, Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes in the above-entitled case. February 16, 2016 Date /s/ Karen M. Wischnowsky\_\_\_ Karen M. Wischnowsky, RPR-RMR-CRR Federal Official Court Reporter